

**Illustrating Transparency:
communicating the 3D shape of
layered transparent surfaces via texture**

by

Victoria L. Interrante

A dissertation submitted to the faculty of the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the Department of Computer Science.

Approved by:

Henry Fuchs, co-advisor

Stephen Pizer, co-advisor

Christina Burbeck, reader

Julian Rosenman

Mary C. Whitton

© 1996
Victoria L. Interrante
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

ABSTRACT

Victoria L. Interrante. **Illustrating Transparency: communicating the 3D shape of layered transparent surfaces via texture.**

(Under the direction of Drs. Henry Fuchs and Stephen Pizer)

There are many applications in which transparency can be a useful tool for displaying the outer surface of an object together with underlying structures. The driving application for this research is radiation therapy treatment planning, in which physicians need to understand the volume distribution of radiation dose in the context of patient anatomy.

To effectively display data containing multiple overlapping surfaces, the surfaces must be rendered in such a way that they can simultaneously be seen and also seen *through*. In computer-generated images, as in real life, however, it is often difficult to adequately perceive the three-dimensional shape of a plain transparent surface and to judge its relative depth distance from underlying opaque objects.

Inspired by the ability of gifted artists to define a figure with just a few strokes, I have explored methods for automatically generating a small, stable set of intuitively meaningful lines that intend to capture the essence of a surface's shape. This dissertation describes my investigations into the use of opaque texture lines as an artistic device for enhancing the communication of the shape and depth of an external transparent surface while only minimally occluding underlying structure.

I provide an overview of the role of 3D visualization in radiation treatment planning and a survey of shape and depth perception, focusing on aspects that may be most crucial for conveying shape and depth information in computer-generated images, and then motivate the use of two specific types of shape-conveying surface markings: valley/ridge lines, which may be useful for sketching the essential form of certain surfaces, and distributed short strokes, oriented in the direction of greatest normal curvature, which may meaningfully convey the local shape of general surface patches.

An experimental paradigm is proposed for objectively measuring observers' ability to simultaneously see and see through a transparent surface, and is used to demonstrate, in an experiment with five subjects, that consistent performance improvements can be achieved, on a task relevant to the needs of radiotherapy treatment planning and based on images generated from actual clinical data, when opaque texture lines are added to an otherwise plain transparent surface.

Acknowledgments

This dissertation owes its existence to the efforts of a great many people, all of whom have contributed in different ways.

I would like, first of all, to thank my two co-advisors, Henry Fuchs and Stephen Pizer, for their invaluable guidance and consistent support and encouragement over the many long years that I was their student. I am grateful to Henry for all of his keen insights that helped shape the overall direction of this work, and for his support and understanding of my part-time status over many years. (It is not easy to raise a family and do dissertation research at the same time, and I feel particularly fortunate to have had the privilege to work with someone who has such strong respect for both efforts.) I am also especially grateful to Steve for his detailed advice, steady support, and consistent, constructive encouragement that made it possible for me to finally finish this effort, finish well, and move on to future endeavors.

The diversity represented in this dissertation owes much to the advice and contributions of my other long-standing committee members, Christina Burbeck, originally of the Psychology department, and Julian Rosenman, of Radiation Oncology. I am particularly grateful to Christina for opening the doors into a whole new world of information and to Julian for helping me to better understand and maintain focus on the practical problems driving these research efforts.

I would additionally like to express my heartfelt appreciation to Dr. Frederick Brooks, who served on my committee for many years and who was instrumental in encouraging me to look to the work of artists and illustrators for insights, and to Mary C. Whitton, who agreed at rather short notice to join the committee and who acted as an “unofficial” reader of this dissertation.

In addition to my doctoral committee, I am grateful for the kind help of many others in the Department of Computer Science, most notably my officemate, David Ellsworth, who helped with many hours of his time and his Mac expertise. I would also like to thank Marc Levoy, whose tenure as a graduate student at UNC overlapped with my own, for graciously allowing me to build on top of the volume rendering program that came out of his dissertation research here, Jim Chung, for providing the isosurface extraction code that I modified to fit into the volume renderer, and Philip Rees of the Sloan Art Library for helping me in my search for information on artists’ representations of transparency. Many other friends and coworkers, too numerous to mention here individually, have also lent help and support of various kinds over the years.

My parents, Len and Vita Interrante, were perhaps my primary and most stalwart source of personal support and encouragement. There are not enough words to say how much their unconditional love and unflagging enthusiasm meant in helping me to both start and finish this undertaking. I am profoundly grateful as well to my husband, Tomás Filsinger, a multi-talented artist and designer, for his artistic inspiration, his many years of patience, and his hard efforts in helping to raise our family. Tomás is also responsible for many of the photographs in this volume. Last, but certainly not least, I have to thank my children, Gabriel and María, for bringing joy to my life outside of school and for putting up with my being away from home quite a bit more than I should have been over the final and most difficult months of this writing.

This dissertation research was funded by a grant to my co-advisors from the National Institutes of Health, #P01 CA47982.

Table of Contents

	Page
List of Tables	viii
List of Figures	ix
Chapter One: Introduction	1
Chapter Two: Motivation and Background	5
2.1: Applications for transparent surface display.....	5
2.2: The driving application: radiation therapy treatment planning	6
2.2.1: Overview of the goals and methods of radiation therapy treatment planning	6
2.2.2: 2D and "2 $\frac{1}{2}$ D" display techniques for treatment planning data.....	10
2.2.3: Advantages of using 3D transparent surfaces for data display	12
2.2.4: The role of visualization in the context of other treatment planning needs.....	13
2.3: A characterization of transparent surface types.....	14
2.4: Photorealistic rendering of transparent surfaces: a brief history	14
2.5: Empirical evidence for the insufficiency of photorealism	16
2.6: The perception of transparency.....	17
2.7: Representations of transparency in art and illustration- basic techniques.....	22
References for chapter two.....	25
Chapter Three: Perceiving and Representing Shape and Depth	31
3.1: Pictorial depth cues.....	31
3.1.1: Occlusion	31
3.1.2: Linear perspective.....	32
3.1.3: Relative familiar size.....	33
3.1.4: Relative height	34
3.1.5: Atmospheric attenuation	35
3.1.6: Spatial frequency, focus, depth of field	36
3.2: Oculomotor Cues to Depth.....	37
3.2.1: Accommodation	37
3.2.2: Vergence.....	38
3.3: Shading.....	39
3.3.1: Depth from shadows	39
3.3.2: Shape from shading.....	40
3.4: Binocular disparity (stereo)	48
3.5: Depth from motion	50
3.5.1: Motion parallax	50
3.5.2: Kinetic depth effect	51
3.5.3: Optic flow	52
3.5.4: Active versus passive viewing	52
3.5.5: Stereokinetic depth.....	52
3.5.6: Flicker	53
3.6: The integration of depth cues	53
3.7: Shape and depth from texture	55
3.7.1: Texture properties characterizing a large planar surface receding in depth.....	56
3.7.2: Defining the dimensions of texture characteristics.....	61
3.7.3: Texture properties characterizing cylinders and ellipses of various eccentricities.....	61
3.7.4: Insights from computational models for extracting shape from texture.....	66

3.7.5: Representing a curved surface with “contour” lines.....	67
References for chapter three	71
Chapter Four: Feature Line Textures.....	77
4.1: Silhouette and contour curves.....	79
4.2: Valley and ridge curves: an introduction.....	83
4.3: Perceptual motivation for highlighting valley lines	84
4.4: The role of ridge lines	89
4.5: Parabolic curves: perceptually less-relevant shape feature lines	92
4.6: A proof-of-concept demonstration of the usefulness of explicitly marking ridge and valley lines on a transparent surface	93
4.7: Previous implementations of ridge-finding algorithms.....	94
4.8: A straightforward ridge-finding algorithm.....	97
4.8.1: Locating the surface in the volume	97
4.8.2: Computing smoothed surface normals	98
4.8.3: Defining an orthogonal frame	98
4.8.4: Computing principal directions and principal curvatures.....	98
4.8.5: Computing Gaussian and mean curvatures	99
4.8.6: Determining whether a point lies on or near a ridge or valley line	99
4.9: Improving the display of ridge and valley information.....	100
4.9.1: Defining opacity as a function of normal curvature in the first principal direction	100
4.9.2: Predicting the significance of a ridge or valley by the extent of its flanks.....	102
4.9.3: The role of color.....	103
4.10: Empirical evidence of the benefits of opacifying ridge and valley lines.....	103
References for chapter four	107
Chapter Five: Uniformly Distributed Surface Texture.....	111
5.1: Previous work in computer graphics using texture to represent or enhance transparent surfaces.....	111
5.2: How artists represent form with line.....	113
5.3: Past work with principal direction texture, automatic pen-and-ink illustration techniques.....	121
5.4: Applying principal direction texture to transparent surfaces.....	123
5.5: Alternative texturing techniques.....	127
5.5.1: Aligning texture elements with the direction of steepest gradient descent.....	127
5.5.2: Inspiration from flow visualization techniques.....	129
5.5.3: Empirical investigations	129
5.5.3.1: Element orientation	130
5.5.3.2: Spot textures.....	130
5.5.3.3: Grid textures.....	131
5.5.3.4: Additional issues.....	132
References for chapter five	134
Chapter Six: Experimental Validation.....	137
6.1: Observer experiments- when and why they are needed.....	137
6.2: Objectives of the study	138
6.3: Experimental design	138
6.3.1: Task definition overview	138
6.3.2: Task specifics	139
6.3.2.1: First phase	145

6.3.2.2: Second phase	147
6.3.2.3: Training phase.....	148
6.3.3: Data preparation.....	149
6.4: Results and evaluation.....	151
6.4.1: Accuracy in localizing the closest points	152
6.4.2: Accuracy in choosing in which of two datasets the outer and inner surfaces come closest.....	158
6.4.3: Confidence judgments	160
6.4.4: Discussion.....	161
References for chapter six.....	163
Chapter Seven: Summary, Conclusions and Future Work.....	165
7.1: Summary and conclusions.....	165
7.1.1: Illustrating transparent surfaces.....	165
7.1.2: Perceiving and representing shape and depth	166
7.1.3: Valley and ridge lines	168
7.1.4: Distributed surface texture.....	169
7.2: Future work	171
Appendix A: Observer study written instructions	175
Appendix B: Observer study recorded responses	178
Appendix C: Additional observer experiment data analysis	186

List of Tables

	Page
Table 6.1: Shortest distance visible in the central view, in voxel units, between the outer and inner surfaces in each of the trial datasets	140
Table 6.2: Proportion of the outer transparent surface covered by opaque or semi-opaque texture elements in the center view of each test dataset	144
Table 6.3a: Average statistics for the test images with no texture applied to the outer transparent shell.....	152
Table 6.3b: Average statistics for the test images with “grid line” texture applied to the outer transparent shell.....	153
Table 6.3c: Average statistics for the test images with “principal direction” texture applied to the outer transparent shell.....	154

List of Figures

	Page
Figure 2.1	A selection of published images illustrating some of the applications that require or can benefit from the display of multiple superimposed surfaces 6
Figure 2.2	Two-dimensional display of the dose distribution over anatomy 10
Figure 2.3	"2 $\frac{1}{2}$ D" representation in which the dose distribution is displayed on orthogonal 2D slices through the anatomical volume 11
Figure 2.4	Three-dimensional display of radiation beam and anatomical surfaces..... 11
Figure 2.5	Multiple superimposed opaque surfaces 12
Figure 2.6	A series of photographs illustrating the three basic types of transparent surfaces encountered in everyday life..... 14
Figure 2.7	An illustration of the progression toward achieving photorealism in the modeling and illumination of transparent surfaces..... 15
Figure 2.8	Photographs illustrating the insufficiency of physically accurate rendering as a complete solution to the problem of representing transparent surfaces that can be adequately seen and also seen through 16
Figure 2.9	Photographs illustrating the difficulty of interpreting models constructed from layered transparent surfaces..... 17
Figure 2.10	An illustration of the global nature of transparency perception, based on the painting "Silver" by Albert Joseph Moore..... 18
Figure 2.11	Images demonstrating the effects of various figural conditions on the perception of transparency 19
Figure 2.12	A photograph of the marble sculpture "veiled nun" by Guiseppe Croff..... 20
Figure 2.13	An illustration of some of the luminance constraints affecting our perception of transparency..... 21
Figure 2.14	An illustration of some of the luminance and color contradictions that are tolerated in transparency perception 22
Figure 2.15a	Techniques for the representation of transparency in art and illustration 23
Figure 2.15b	Texturing techniques for the representation of transparency in illustration 23
Figure 3.1	An illustration, based on figures and discussion in [Nakayama et al. 1989], showing the effect of occlusion boundaries on the perception of relative depth and figural continuity..... 32
Figure 3.2	A photograph illustrating linear perspective (the apparent convergence, in the two-dimensional projection, of lines known to be parallel in the three dimensional scene) 32
Figure 3.3	A pair of photographs illustrating appropriate and inappropriate situations for using the "relative familiar size" cue to depth..... 33
Figure 3.4	A photocollage, modeled after an illustration by Lehmann [1966] (cited in [Metzger 1975]), that attempts to demonstrate the subordinate role of relative size in the judgment of relative depth..... 34

Figure 3.5	Experimental set-up constructed by Gibson [1950a] to demonstrate the tendency we have to perceive an object as resting on the ground plane over which it is superimposed in a projected view.....	35
Figure 3.6	A photograph illustrating the “aerial perspective” cue to depth.....	36
Figure 3.7	A photograph illustrating how an impression of distance can be intuitively understood from differences in relative focus, in an image with few complementary depth cues.....	37
Figure 3.8	An example of sample stimuli used by Yonas et al. [1978] to examine the influence of cast shadows on the perception of relative depth, height and size in pictorial representations.....	39
Figure 3.9	A photograph [Réunion des musées nationaux] of a leaf of a 6th century ivory diptych, in which variations in the extent of the relief are made apparent by the presence or absence of cast shadows.....	40
Figure 3.10	A photograph [Réunion des musées nationaux] of an 18th century stone relief [Clodion 1782] in which shading is the only available cue to shape.....	41
Figure 3.11	A diagram explaining why specular highlights are not, in general, perceived as lying on a curved surface but as floating in space either in front or in back of it.....	42
Figure 3.12	An illustration, from [Goral et al. 1984], of the radiosity algorithm they proposed for modeling the interaction of light between diffuse surfaces.....	43
Figure 3.13	A photograph illustrating the so-called "crater illusion", in which concavities are perceived as convexities when the image is rotated by 180°.....	44
Figure 3.14	A diagram, from [Koenderink 1990], representing the qualitative surface shape categorization defined by his shape index.....	45
Figure 3.15	Photographs of bronze sculptures in which surface shape is shown through contours and shading.....	46
Figure 3.16	A random dot stereogram.....	48
Figure 3.17	An sequence of frames illustrating the type of stimulus than can evoke a stereokinetic impression of depth.....	53
Figure 3.18	Stimuli used by Gibson [1950a] in experiments investigating the effects of texture gradients on the perception of surface slant.....	56
Figure 3.19	Stimuli used by Flock and Moscatelli [1964] to measure how various types of pattern regularity in surface texture influence the accuracy of slant judgments.....	57
Figure 3.20	Stimuli used by Attneave and Olson [1966] to demonstrate the effectiveness of linear convergence as a cue to the direction of recession of a planar surface ...	58
Figure 3.21	Stimuli used by Braunstein and Payne [1969] in experiments comparing the relative effects on slant judgments of “form ratio” (the relative ratio of vertical height to horizontal width) and “perspective projection” gradients.....	59
Figure 3.22a	Representation of some of the stimuli used by Cutting and Millard [1984] in experiments designed to reveal the relative contributions of perspective, density, and compression cues in evoking a subjective impression of a flat surface receding in depth.....	60

Figure 3.22b	Representation of some of the stimuli used by Cutting and Millard [1984] to examine the relative weights of perspective, density and compression cues in evoking a subjective impression of a smoothly curving, convex surface receding in depth.....	60
Figure 3.23	Stimuli, representing textured, elongated ellipsoids viewed end-on, used by Todd and Akerstrom [1987] in experiments designed to reveal the characteristics of texture important for communicating shape.....	62
Figure 3.24	A demonstration, by Todd and Akerstrom [1987], of the results obtained when this same isolated element texture is applied to a more complicated surface, contrasted with the results obtained when a "contour line" texture (after [Stevens 1981b]) is used.....	63
Figure 3.25	Stimuli used by Cumming et al. [1993] in experiments examining the characteristics of texture most important for communicating shape and depth in stereoscopically viewed images.....	65
Figure 3.26	An illustration of the type of texture anisotropy generated by surface slant	66
Figure 3.27	An illustration of the difference between homotropic and non-homotropic texture	67
Figure 3.28	A diagram representing how one might imagine that local surface shape could be inferred from the signs of the curvatures, in the projected image, of surface "contour" lines oriented in each of the principal directions	68
Figure 3.29	Images generated by Todd and Reichel [1990] to demonstrate the wide variety of conditions under which surface "contours" (texture lines) can, and cannot, convey a compelling impression of three-dimensional shape	69
Figure 3.30	Images generated by Reichel and Todd [1990] to demonstrate how occlusion contours can affect the global consistency of locally inferred relative depth order judgments.....	70
Figure 4.1	Examples of sample stimuli used by Biederman and Ju [1988] in experiments showing slightly lower error rates and faster reaction times for naming (or verifying the identity of) objects represented by line drawings as opposed to color photographs, for very brief, masked exposure durations.....	78
Figure 4.2	Examples of photographic vs. line drawing facial recognition task stimuli.....	78
Figure 4.3	Examples of silhouette and contour curves in the projection of a simple object...	79
Figure 4.4	Enhanced images, generated by Saito and Takahashi [1990], in which various types of depth discontinuities, found using an edge operator on a two-dimensional depth map, are highlighted with black or white lines.....	80
Figure 4.5	Surface patches of different shapes and their associated contour lines	81
Figure 4.6	Some contour features. Left: T-junction in the contour of an opaque surface. Right: swallowtail in the contour of a transparent surface.....	82
Figure 4.7	A stereo pair of images in which the surface points mapping onto the contour in the perspective projection from each eye are highlighted	83
Figure 4.8	Lines of curvature and three ridges on an ellipsoid	84

Figure 4.9	Left: a summary of the frequency with which individual points along a contour were chosen as one of the 10 points best representing the shape. Right: a drawing of a sleeping cat, derived from 38 points of relatively sharper contour curvature and the straight line connections between them.....	85
Figure 4.10	A comparison of figures in which deleted sections of the contour are located a) at the most highly curved regions of a figure or b) midway along the straight lines between the most highly curved regions.....	85
Figure 4.11	Sample stimuli used by Kennedy and Domander [1985] to show that objects are more easily recognized in images in which the contour is represented by straight lines at the midpoints of flat areas than in images in which lines are drawn only at the corner regions	86
Figure 4.12	Sample stimuli used by Biederman and Blicke [Biederman 1985] in experiments measuring the effect of contour deletion on object recognition	87
Figure 4.13	A two-dimensional surface that appears to naturally partition along its valley lines.....	88
Figure 4.14	An image that illustrates the effect of selectively darkening surface cavities.....	89
Figure 4.15	An image in which ridge lines appear to correspond to perceptually relevant surface shape features	90
Figure 4.16	A skull surface partitioned into patches using ridge and geodesic curves	91
Figure 4.17	An extremal mesh partitioning of a skull surface defined from acquired volume data	91
Figure 4.18	A photograph of a statue of Apollo of Belvedere, upon which Felix Klein had the parabolic lines drawn	92
Figure 4.19	Images of facial surfaces in which the values of the Gaussian and mean curvatures are used for shape description.....	93
Figure 4.20	A photograph of a transparent plastic bear, with colored tape applied along the ridge and valley lines	94
Figure 4.21	Deriving a line drawing from a photograph.....	94
Figure 4.22	An image in which lines are used to mark intensity discontinuities unrelated to surface boundaries or surface shape	95
Figure 4.23	“Surface intersection” lines computed by Ponce and Brady [1985] from differential geometry measurements on range data acquired from a variety of objects.....	96
Figure 4.24	An image, by Subsol <i>et al.</i> [1994], that very nicely illustrates a set of ridge lines on an isointensity surface in acquired volume data, computed according to the approach of Monga <i>et al.</i> [1992]	96
Figure 4.25	A demonstration of the insufficiency of a uniform representation of ridge and valley line opacity.....	100
Figure 4.26	Improving the display of ridge and valley information.....	101
Figure 4.27	A diagram illustrating the concept of a “narrow” ridge feature	103
Figure 4.28	Radiation therapy treatment plan for cancer of the nasopharynx.....	104
Figure 4.29	Radiation therapy treatment plan for prostate cancer	105

Figure 5.1	Examples of the use of various different types of texture to enhance the visibility of a layered transparent surface	112
Figure 5.2	Connolly dot and line surfaces.....	112
Figure 5.3	Examples of common methods for representing transparent skin and/or dose surfaces using graphical primitives, a type of “see-through texture”	113
Figure 5.4	An illustration demonstrating the potential significance of stroke characteristics.....	114
Figure 5.5	An illustration of the biasing effects of various stroke textures.....	115
Figure 5.6	Different pen-and-ink techniques for representing the surfaces of a simple object	116
Figure 5.7	An illustration, after <i>Tupa-3</i> [Vasarely 1974], showing how texture can be misused to distort the appearance of surface shape	117
Figure 5.8	An illustration of some alternative conventions for determining stroke direction — following the light or following the form.....	118
Figure 5.9	A representative sample of medical line illustrations.....	119
Figure 5.10	An illustration of Drake’s system for representing shape with line	119
Figure 5.11	A demonstration of the different techniques required to represent transparent and opaque spheres with line texture	120
Figure 5.12	An illustration by Frobin and Hierholzer [1982] in which information about back shape is communicated by short strokes on a grid, oriented in each of the principal directions, with lengths proportional to the magnitudes of the principal curvatures.....	121
Figure 5.13	A computer-generated line drawing illustration by Saito and Takahashi [1990].....	122
Figure 5.14	An automatically-defined pen-and-ink style illustration by Winkenbach and Salesin [1994].....	123
Figure 5.15	A semi-automatically generated line drawing illustration by Salisbury et al. [1994] in which stroke direction is defined by the intensity gradients in a 2D image.....	123
Figure 5.16	Principal direction textures with constant element length.....	125
Figure 5.17	Left: texture element length is proportional to the magnitude of the normal curvature in the stroke direction. Right: element length is constant	126
Figure 5.18	Methods for the display of cartographic relief.....	127
Figure 5.19	Examples of a sparse, opaque surface texture in which element orientation is defined according to the direction of steepest gradient descent	128
Figure 5.20	An isointensity surface of radiation dose	129
Figure 5.21	Alternative methods for defining element orientation	130
Figure 5.22	Spot textures	131
Figure 5.23	“Solid grid” textures, marking the intersection of the surface with planes perpendicular to various axes of the volume.....	132

Figure 6.1a	Subgroup of stimuli with no texture applied to the outer surface	141
Figure 6.1b	Subgroup of stimuli with “solid grid” texture applied to the outer surface.....	142
Figure 6.1c	Subgroup of stimuli with “principal direction line segment” (or “oriented dash”) texture applied to the outer surface	143
Figure 6.2a	The view to the right eye of the display screen at the beginning of the first trial.....	145
Figure 6.2b	A "stereo" view of the screen at the beginning of the first trial, showing how the left and right eye images were displayed on alternate scan lines.....	146
Figure 6.3	An illustration of the second phase of each “trial” — determining in which of the two displayed datasets the outer surface comes closer to the inner.....	147
Figure 6.4	An illustration of the display of “answers” during the training session.....	148
Figure 6.5a	Chart describing the accuracy with which the closest points between the layered surfaces were localized by all subjects (pooled data).....	155
Figure 6.5b	Chart describing the accuracy with which the closest points between the layered surfaces were localized by subject LVI.....	155
Figure 6.5c	Chart describing the accuracy with which the closest points between the layered surfaces were localized by subject TEO.....	156
Figure 6.5d	Chart describing the accuracy with which the closest points between the layered surfaces were localized by subject TGC	156
Figure 6.5e	Chart describing the accuracy with which the closest points between the layered surfaces were localized by subject TJF	157
Figure 6.5f	Chart describing the accuracy with which the closest points between the layered surfaces were localized by subject VLI.....	157
Figure 6.6	One possible view of the number and distribution of correct distance choices made by each of the observers	159
Figure 6.7	Another possible view of the rate of correct distance choices, based on the shortest distance to the inner surface from the points on the outer surface previously marked by each observer as being closest	159
Figure 6.8	Average confidence indicated by each observer in their ability to correctly specify the points on the outer transparent surface where it approached the inner more closely, arranged according to the type of texture, if any, applied to the outer surface	160