

Problem

VMs and Containers make trade-offs between security and overheads

- Containers are a poor security isolation layer
 - Trade efficiency for security risks
 - Shared host OS but shared vulnerability



- VMs necessary for security isolation
- Trade functionality, security for high overhead
- Isolated vulnerability but new OS instance



Hardware Assisted OS Virtualization

Bhushan Jain, Donald E. Porter

{bpjain, porter} @cs.stonybrook.edu

Our Solution

Goal : Get both security and low overheads

Create heap's physical and logical isolation



- Isolate Container specific kernel objects
- Repurpose hardware designed for VMs Redesign the OS to be EPT protection friendly
- Share host OS to keep overheads low
- Map OS copy-on-write in Container context
- Map Container objects only in its context
- Container context OS handles safe interrupts



Benign

- Malicious / Infected
- Extended Page Tables
- Hardware Page Tables





Our Vision



- Functionality & Security equivalent to VM – Insert kernel modules in a Container
 - Contain a rootkit attack
- Overheads equivalent to Containers Small startup time and memory footprint

Conclusion

- VM-like hardware isolation for Containers – Make Container a first class kernel object
- Best of both VMs and Containers
- Efficiency and low overhead of Containers Security and functionality of VMs
- Work in progress
 - Can provide exciting features for Containers









