Some comments about the final project.

First, what I expect is about twice the effort that went into the Sync and other projects. So you dont have to go overboard, especially if you are already getting a high grade. Of course, if want to improve your grade, then the more work you put in, the better. In particular, you could compensate for a low exam score.

Deposit all of your project material in: D:\deposit\790-063-Assignments\Project

In addition email to me the paper or project writeup.

As I said in class, the early submission date is next Thu (Dec 17th) at 5pm. I will give you three percent extra credit if you submit then. The regular submission date is  Friday (Dec 18th) at 5pm. **A day after you submit, check your email for a confirmation that I have indeed received your project write-up. If you dont hear from me, resend me your email.**

**For survey writers**:

Your project material and writeup are the same.

Make your survey as seamless as possible. It should not be a list of unrelated summaries of the three papers. Look at the following link on some how the integration may occur: <http://www.cs.unc.edu/~dewan/242/s05/hws/paper.html>

I use operating system examples in that writeup, but imagine instead the examples of multicast calls,  broadcast methods, and shared logical structures.

Ideally, your integration should lead to some design that combines features presented in the surveyed papers.

**For** **project doers:**

If you are doing a design, then your writeup and design are probably the same.

If you are doing an implementation, then your project material will also include code. Do not send me the code in the email.

Your project writeup, at the very least, shoud contain screen shots of the functionality you implemented and text describing this functionality.

Of course, the more formally you do the writing, the more points you get, so you may have to tradeoff implementint new features for writing well.

In case your project implementation turns out to be relatively simple, then put additional work into the writing.

By more formal, I mean the quality of writing (flow and grammar), how you position your project with respect to previous work (in case you have done something new), and how you motivate your implementation. As part of the motivation, think about giving as many different kinds of  specific usage scenarios as possible. Also, for those who have done an implementation, give the architecture of your code, and if you have condidered several choices, then motivate the choice you did make.

Let me know if you need more clarification.