COMP 401 Fall 2017

Recitation 10: Assertions

Assertions

- Executable, runtime checks of program state
- Semantics: "Assert (Condition): <Message>"
 - Evaluate Condition \rightarrow boolean
 - If !Condition, generate an Error (halt the program)
 - If Message is set, include Message in the error thusly generated
 - NOT part of program logic:
 - If an assertion fails, the program halts (logically, no recovery)
 - Does not obviate the need for other validation logic specifically, the assertions, among other things, help us verify that such validation is correct.

Motivations:

- Ensuring program correctness, safety
- Debugging/validation
- Self-documentation

Requisite and Desirable Properties

- Program correctness must not depend on assertions being enabled!
- The predicate asserted (Condition) must be executable
- The predicate is a statement that evaluates to a boolean value, e.g.,

0 <= j && j < array.length
null != arg1 || this.list.size() > 0
withdrawlAmount > 0 &&
 balance-withdrawlAmount >= MIN BALANCE

Compact syntax – clear and brief, minimal clutter

Conditionally-evaluated, e.g., based on runtime settings

Assertions in Java

- Added to the language in Java 1.4
- Enabled by JVM option "-ea" or "-ea <package>"; disabled with "-da" or "-da <package>"

Syntax:

assert condition;

assert condition : message;

- Where:
 - condition is a boolean expression
 - message is a String expression
- Java semantics: if assertions are enabled and an assertion is executed with a predicate that evaluates to false, an AssertionError is thrown. If message is set, the exception's message includes message.

AssertionDemo

Copyright (C) 2017 Alex Blate. All Rights Reserved.

class BankAccount

Copyright (C) 2017 Alex Blate. All Rights Reserved.

Brief introduction to Pre/Postconditions

- Preconditions and postconditions are predicates (boolean expressions)
- As defined by Tony Hoare in the 1970's, for program state S, and code C, and pre/postconditions Pre(S) and Post(S) consider:

Pre(S) Evaluate(C) Post(S)

- We can say (loosely) that C is "correct" if and only if Pre(S), Evaluate(C) → Post(S)
- I.e, if Pre(S) is true and we run the code C, then Post(S) will be true after running C
- E.g. (pseudocode); assume some number x

$$y \leftarrow 0$$

$$Pre(x) : x \ge 0$$

$$//Code$$

$$y \leftarrow sqrt(x)$$

$$Post(x) : y \ge 0 \&\& (y * y == x)$$

Pre/Postconditions continued

- Pre/Postconditions are often used to define the behavior of functions/methods
- These are often stated in the program documentation (e.g., JavaDoc) and, in practice, may or may not be checked at runtime
- We can interpret these as a contract whereby if the precondition is true when the method is called, then the postcondition will be true when the method returns
 - If the precondition is not true, the method's behavior may or may not be well-defined and/or the method may generate an error or throw an exception.

Invariants

- An invariant is a predicate that is always true before and after the execution of some code.
 - A "class invariant" is a predicate that is always true before and after each method invocation on a class
 - The invariant may be false (transiently) during method invocation, e.g., as the internal state of the class changes
 - Ideally, the invariant will remain true even in the face of error conditions
 - We can think of a class invariant as an implicit precondition and postcondition of all methods exposed by the class.
 - There is also a notion of a "loop invariant" which is not explicitly covered in this course but which I strongly encourage you to read about.

Implementation of Predicates

- The full predicates of pre/postconditions and invariants may or may not be "implemented" as executable code
 - Often, important parts are executed as part of the program logic, e.g., to validate inputs
 - Many predicates would be computationally-expensive to verify at runtime (think about checking universal or existential quantification over a large collection)
 - It may be useful to implement parts or all of some predicates as assertions to help with:
 - Documenting formal correctness of code
 - Debugging and/or testing
 - Whether or not such predicates are implemented, it is helpful and recommended that they be written down in non-trivial situations (e.g., as comments or JavaDoc)
 - Writing down the predicates makes you think more carefully about what your code is trying to do
 - Future users and maintainers of your code, including you, will benefit from having the documentation.

Terminology and thinking about predicates

- Consider predicates P(x) and Q(x) where x is in the domain X of possible inputs to P and Q.
- Consider the sets

 $X_P = \{ x \in X : P(x) \}$ $X_Q = \{ x \in X : Q(x) \}$

- We say that a predicate *P* is *stronger* than *Q* if and only if $X_P \subset X_Q$, i.e., the set of conditions under which *P* holds is strictly a subset of those where *Q* holds. This could also be stated as, "there exists some element $x' \in X_Q$ s.t. P(x')=false
- ▶ If *P* is stronger than *Q*, then *Q* is weaker than *P*.
- What is the strongest possible predicate?
- What is the weakest possible predicate?
- What if $X_P \not\subset X_Q$ and $X_Q \not\subset X_P$ (consider P(x) = (x % 2 == 0) and Q(x) = (x % 2 == 1))

Thinking about predicates

- Disjunction (OR)
 - Consider predicates P(x) = A(x) and Q(x) = A(x) || B(x)
 - In general, what can we say about the strength of P and Q?
- Conjunction (AND)
 - Consider predicates P(x) = A(x) and R(x) = A(x) & B(x)
 - In general, what can we say about the strength of P and R?

Strength of Pre and Postconditions

- Do we want strong or weak preconditions?
- Do we want strong or weak postconditions?
- In general, we would like to write the *weakest* precondition that implies the *strongest* postcondition
- If we think about invariants as being implicit pre and postconditions, then we want the weakest possible invariant that implies the strongest possible invariant... this leads to a predicate that is a necessary and sufficient condition

Threads

A very brief introduction

Very High-Level

- A thread is a context (stack and program counter) within a program executing some sequential code
- A program may comprise multiple threads that run asynchronously with respect to one another – multiple "threads" of execution within the program
- Threads logically run concurrently with their instructions interleaved arbitrarily
- In Java, every program has at least one thread
- Almost every application you use on your computer or mobile device is multi-threaded.

High Level – Think-abouts

- Why would we want multiple, asynchronous, concurrent execution contexts within our program?
- What sorts of design practices and patterns might this enable or enhance?
- Could multi-threading (potentially) lead to hazards?
 YES
- Will we be discussing all of these hazards? NO. Caveat emptor (and take the Operating Systems class!)

Threads in Java

- > java.lang.Thread is the base implementation of all threads in Java
- Among other idioms, you can execute your code under a separate thread by:
 - Extending Thread (and generally overriding run())
 - Or creating a (regular or anonymous) class that implements Runnable
- Implementing Runnable is typically preferred. (Why?)
- Given a Runnable r, you create and run a thread by:

```
Thread t = new Thread(r);
```

//Later on...

```
t.start();
```

PrinterThread/ThreadDemo

Copyright (C) 2017 Alex Blate. All Rights Reserved.