

January 18

- Hannah is sweet 16!
- You should have a book by now.
- A book is on reserve in the library.
- You should get the email I sent this AM.
- TA Office hours now in the POOP.
- Don't email answers to ME
- [Assignment 2](#)

C versus ASM

```
Swap(int v[], int k) {  
    int temp;  
    temp = v[k];  
    v[k] = v[k+1];  
    v[k+1] = temp;  
}
```

Swap:

```
    muli $t0, $a1, 4  
    add $t0, $a0, $t0  
    lw $t1, 0($t0)  
    lw $t2, 4($t0)  
    sw $t2, 0($t0)  
    sw $t1, 4($t0)  
    jr $ra
```

Form of the Instructions

- Opcode
- Register (usually result destination)
- Operand 1
- Operand 2

e.g.

```
add $t0, $a0, $t0
```

Naming Registers

This is all just software “convention”

- \$a0 - \$a3 arguments to functions
- \$v0 - \$v1 results from functions
- \$ra return address
- \$s0 - \$s7 “saved” registers
- \$t0 - \$t9 “temporary” registers
- \$sp stack pointer

What are the operands?

- Registers e.g. \$a0
- With load and store this is logically enough
- But small constants are VERY common
- So, some instructions allow “immediate” operands. E.g. muli \$t0, \$a1, 4
- *Where do we get big constants?*

C versus ASM

```
Swap(int v[], int k) {  
    int temp;  
    temp = v[k];  
    v[k] = v[k+1];  
    v[k+1] = temp;  
}
```

Swap:

```
    muli $t0, $a1, 4  
    add $t0, $a0, $t0  
    lw $t1, 0($t0)  
    lw $t2, 4($t0)  
    sw $t2, 0($t0)  
    sw $t1, 4($t0)  
    jr $ra
```

Next: Performance

- Measure, Report, and Summarize
- Make intelligent choices
- See through the marketing hype
- Key to understanding underlying organizational motivation

Why is some hardware better than others for different programs?

What factors of system performance are hardware related?

(e.g., Do we need a new machine, or a new operating system?)

How does the machine's instruction set affect performance?