Sand Simulation

Abhinav Golas

COMP 768 - Physically Based Simulation

Final Project Presentation

Motivation

- Movies, games

Spiderman 3

The Mummy

- Engineering design – grain silos
- Avalanches, Landslides

www.stheoutlawtorn.com

Overview

- What are Granular Materials?
- Proposed Model
- Actual Progress

Overview

- What are Granular Materials?
- Proposed Model
- Actual Progress

What are Granular Materials?

- A granular material is a conglomeration of discrete solid, macroscopic particles characterized by a loss of energy whenever the particles interact (Wikipedia)
- Size variation from 1μm to icebergs
- Food grains, sand, coal etc.
- Powders – can be suspended in gas

What are Granular materials?

- Can exist similar to various forms of matter
  - Gas/Liquid – powders can be carried by velocity fields
    - Sandstorms
  - Liquid/Solid – similar to liquids embedded with multiple solid objects
    - Avalanches, landslides
    - Hourglass
- Similar to viscous liquids

Why the separate classification?

- Behavior not consistent with any one state of matter
  1. Can sustain small shear stresses – stable piles
     - Hydrostatic pressure achieves a maximum
  2. Particle interactions lose energy
     - Collisions approach inelastic
     - Infinite collisions in finite time – inelastic collapse
  3. Inhomogeneous and anisotropic
     - Particle shape and size inhomogeneous

Granular solids, liquids, and gases – Jaeger et al.

Understanding the behavior - Stress

- Stress $\sigma = \frac{F}{A}$

$$\sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{xx} & \sigma_{xy} & \sigma_{xz} \\ \sigma_{yx} & \sigma_{yy} & \sigma_{yz} \\ \sigma_{zx} & \sigma_{zy} & \sigma_{zz} \end{bmatrix}$$



Shear



Normal

- At equilibrium – matrix is symmetric – 6 degrees of freedom
- Pressure for fluids – tr(σ)/ 3

Stress

- Different matrix for different basis – need invariants
  - Pressure! – I_0
  - Deviatoric invariants – Invariants based on $\sigma - I_0\delta$ $\Longrightarrow J_1, J_2$
- Eigen values? – called principle stresses

Understanding the behavior

- Why can sand sustain shear stress?
  - Friction between particles
- When does it yield? – yield surface/condition



Yield surface

- Many surfaces – suitable for different materials
- Mohr Coulomb surface with Von-Mises equivalent stress – f(I_0, J_1)

$$I_0 = \sigma_m = \frac{tr(\sigma)}{3}$$

$$J_1 = \overline{\sigma} = \frac{\left\| \sigma - \sigma_m \delta \right\|_F}{\sqrt{2}}$$

- Condition for stability/rigidity:

$$\sqrt{3} J_1 < I_0 \sin \Phi$$

- sinΦ – coefficient of friction

So why is it difficult to simulate?

- Scale - >10M particles
- Nonlinear behavior – yield surface
- Representation – discrete or continuum?

Simulation

- Depends on what scenario to simulate
  - Discrete particles – Particle-Based Simulation of Granular Materials, Bell et al.
  - Continuum – Animating Sand as a Fluid, Zhu et al.

Particle-Based Simulation of Granular Materials

- Use a particle system with collision handling
- Define objects in terms of spheres
  - Need to define per sphere pair interaction forces
- Collision system based on Molecular Dynamics
  - Allow minor spatial overlap between objects

Sphere pair interaction



- Define overlap(ξ), relative velocity(V), contact normal(N), normal and tangential velocities(V_n, V_t), rate of change of overlap(V.N)
- Normal forces

$$\vec{F}_n = f_n \vec{N} \qquad f_n + k_d \xi^\alpha \dot{\xi} + k_r \xi^\beta = 0$$

- k_d : dissipation during collisions, k_r : particle stiffness
- Best choice of coefficients: α=1/2, β=3/2
- Given coefficient of restitution ε, and time of contact t_c, we can determine k_d and k_r

Sphere pair interaction

- Tangential forces

$$\vec{F}_t = -\min\left(\mu f_n, k_t \left\|\vec{V}_t\right\|\right)\frac{\vec{V}_t}{\left\|\vec{V}_t\right\|}$$



- These forces cannot stop motion – require true static friction
  - Springs between particles with persistent contact?
  - Non-spherical objects

Solid bodies

- Map mesh to structure built from spheres
  - Generate distance field from mesh
  - Choose offset from mesh to place spheres
  - Build iso-surface mesh (Marching Tetrahedra)
  - Sample spheres randomly on triangles
  - Let them float to desired iso-surface by repulsion forces
- D=sphere density, A=triangle area, R=particle radius, place $\left\lfloor \frac{DA}{\pi r^2} \right\rfloor$ particles, 1 more with fractional probability



Solid bodies

$$\vec{V} = \vec{V}_F + \vec{V}_R \qquad V_F = -\Phi\left(\vec{P}\right)\vec{N} \qquad \vec{V}_R = \sum_{P_i \in S \setminus P} K\left(\left\|\vec{P} - \vec{P}_i\right\|\right)\frac{\vec{P} - \vec{P}_i}{\left\|\vec{P} - \vec{P}_i\right\|}$$

- K – interaction kernel, P – Position of particle, V – velocity of particle, Φ – distance field
- Rigid body evolution
  - Overall force = Σ forces
  - Overall torque = Σ torques around center of mass

Efficient collision detection

- Spatial hashing
  - Grid size = 2 x Maximum particle radius
- Need to look at 27 cells for each particle $\Longrightarrow$O(n)
- Not good enough, insert each particle into not 1, but 27 cells $\Longrightarrow$check only one cell for possible collisions
- Why better?
  - Spatial coherence
  - Particles moving to next grid cell, rare (inelastic collapse)
  - Wonderful for stagnant regions

Advantages/Disadvantages

- The Good
  - Faithful to actual physical behavior
- The Bad and the Ugly
  - Computationally intensive
    - Small scale scenes
    - Scenes with some “control” particles

Animating Sand as a Fluid

- Motivation
  - Sand ~ viscous fluids in some cases
- Continuum simulation
- Bootstrap additions to existing fluid simulator
- Why?
  - Simulation independent of number of particles
  - Better numerical stability than rigid body simulators

Fluid simulation? what's that?

- Discretize 3D region into cuboidal grid
- 3 step process to solve Navier Stokes equations
  - Advect
  - Add body forces
  - Incompressibility projection
- Stable and accurate under CFL condition



Extending our fluid simulator

- Extra things we need for sand
  - Friction (internal, boundary)
  - Rigid portions in sand
- Recall
  - Stress
  - Yield condition

Calculating stress

- Exact calculation infeasible
- Smart approximations
- Define strain rate – D = d/dt(strain)

$$D = \left(\nabla u + \nabla u^T\right) \qquad D_{i,j} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial i}\right)$$

- Approximate stresses
  - Rigid $\sigma_{rigid} = -\frac{\rho D \Delta x^2}{\Delta t}$
  - Fluid $\sigma_f = -p \sin\phi \frac{D}{\sqrt{1/3}|D|_F}$

The algorithm in a nutshell

- Calculate strain rate
- Find rigid stress for cell
- Cell satisfies yield condition?
  - Yes – mark rigid, store rigid stress
  - No – mark fluid, store fluid stress
- For each rigid connected component
  - Accumulate forces and torques
- For fluid cells, subtract friction force

Yield condition

- Recap

$$I_0 = \sigma_m = \frac{tr(\sigma)}{3} \qquad J_1 = \bar{\sigma} = \frac{\|\sigma - \sigma_m \delta\|_F}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$\sqrt{3} J_1 < I_0 \sin\Phi$$

- Can add a cohesive force for sticky materials

$$\sqrt{3} J_1 < I_0 \sin\Phi + c$$

Rigid components

- All velocities must lie in allowed space of rigid motion (D=0)
- Find connected components – graph search
- Accumulate momentum and angular momentum

$$M_i \hat{v}_i = \int_{R_i} \rho_i \hat{u} dV_i \qquad I_i \omega_i = \int_{R_i} r_i \times \rho_i \hat{u} dV_i$$

- R_i – solid region, u – velocity, ρ – density, I – moment of inertia

Rigid Fluid: Animating the Interplay Between Rigid Bodies and Fluid, Carlson et al.

Friction in fluid cells

- Update cell velocity $u += \Delta t / \rho \nabla \cdot \sigma_f$
- Boundary conditions
  - Normal velocity: $u \cdot n \geq 0$
  - Tangential velocity: $u_T = \max\left(0, 1 - \frac{\mu |u \cdot n|}{|u_T|}\right) u_T$

Representation

- Defining regions of sand
  - Level sets
  - Particles
    - Allow improved advection
    - Hybrid simulation
      - PIC – Particle In Cell
      - FLIP – FLuid Implicit Particle

Advantages/Disadvantages

- Advantages
  - Fast & stable
  - Independent of number of particles – large scale scenes possible
- Disadvantages
  - Not completely true to actual behavior
  - Detail issues – smoothing in simulation, surface reconstruction

Overview

- What are Granular Materials?
- Proposed Model
- Actual Progress

Proposed Model

- Minimization problem
  - Inelasticity -> stress tries to minimize kinetic energy
  - Constraints
    - Friction, yield condition
    - Boundary conditions
    - Unilateral incompressibility

Proposed Model

- Friction

$$I_0 = \sigma_m = \frac{tr(\sigma)}{3} \qquad J_1 = \bar{\sigma} = \frac{\|\sigma - \sigma_m \delta\|_F}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$\sqrt{3} J_1 < I_0 \sin \Phi$$

  - Nice, but not linear – Frobenius Norm
    - Infinity/1 Norm – linear
- Unilateral Incompressibility

$$p > 0 \neg \rho < \rho_{\max}$$

- Boundary conditions

$$u \cdot n \geq 0 \qquad u_T = \max\left(0, 1 - \frac{\mu |u \cdot n|}{|u_T|}\right) u_T$$

Problems

- Friction not orthogonal
  - LCP bye bye
  - KKT solver slow
- Iterative solvers
  - LCP for unilateral incompressibility, boundary conditions
  - Friction checking after that
  - Recurse till convergence

Overview

- What are Granular Materials?
- Proposed Model
- Actual Progress

Actual progress

- Boundary cases!
  - 90% of all effort in writing fluid solver
- Minor details
  - Particle reseeding / preventing clumping
  - Continuity of stress field
  - Parameter tuning

Actual progress

- Running implementation of “Animating Sand as a Fluid”, Zhu et al.
  - 3D real-time – albeit with simple rendering
  - Takes care of friction
    - Rigid, fluid cases
    - Boundary cases – tangential contact friction
  - Variational formulation – “Variational Fluids”, Bridson and Batty

Implementation

- Grids coupled with particles
  - Particles dictate fluid density
  - One way velocity mapping – need ghost fluids for proper 2 way mapping
  - Grid based advection
  - Variational model – better interaction handling with non-axis-aligned objects
  - Rigid projection

Actual progress

- The nitty-gritty
  - Implemented 3D fluid solver from scratch
  - Particle System reused from previous assignments
  - Rigid projection – “Rigid Fluids”
  - Variational pressure solve – equality constraints

Pluses/Minuses?

- Issues
  - Bugs – known and unknown remain
  - LCP solver couldn't be completed in time – no unilateral incompressibility, improved contact
    - Iterative testing couldn't be done
- Pluses
  - 3D fluid simulator working
    - With minor fixes – should be perfectly functional

References

1. Granular Solids, Liquids, and Gases – Jaeger et al.
   Review of Modern Physics ’96
2. Particle-Based Simulations of Granular Materials – Bell et al., Eurographics ‘05
3. Animating Sand as a Fluid – Zhu et al.
   SIGGRAPH ‘05
4. Rigid Fluid: Animating the Interplay Between Rigid Bodies and Fluid – Carlson et al.
   SIGGRAPH ’04

References

5. A Fast Variational Framework for Accurate Solid-Fluid Coupling – Batty et al.
   SIGGRAPH 2007