Face detection

Behold a state-of-the-art face detector!
(Courtesy Boris Babenko)
Face detection and recognition

Detection

Recognition

“Sally”
Consumer application: Apple iPhoto

http://www.apple.com/ilife/iphoto/
Consumer application: Apple iPhoto
Can be trained to recognize pets!

Consumer application: Apple iPhoto

Things iPhoto thinks are faces
Funny Nikon ads

"The Nikon S60 detects up to 12 faces."
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"The Nikon S60 detects up to 12 faces."
Challenges of face detection

• Sliding window detector must evaluate tens of thousands of location/scale combinations

• Faces are rare: 0–10 per image
  • For computational efficiency, we should try to spend as little time as possible on the non-face windows
  • A megapixel image has $\sim 10^6$ pixels and a comparable number of candidate face locations
  • To avoid having a false positive in every image, our false positive rate has to be less than $10^{-6}$
The Viola/Jones Face Detector

• A seminal approach to real-time object detection
• Training is slow, but detection is very fast
• Key ideas
  • Integral images for fast feature evaluation
  • Boosting for feature selection
  • Attentional cascade for fast rejection of non-face windows


Image Features

“Rectangle filters”

Value =

\[ \sum (\text{pixels in white area}) - \sum (\text{pixels in black area}) \]
Example
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Fast computation with integral images

- The *integral image* computes a value at each pixel \((x,y)\) that is the sum of the pixel values above and to the left of \((x,y)\), inclusive.
- This can quickly be computed in one pass through the image.
Computing the integral image
Computing the integral image

Cumulative row sum: \( s(x, y) = s(x-1, y) + i(x, y) \)

Integral image: \( ii(x, y) = ii(x, y-1) + s(x, y) \)

MATLAB: \( ii = 
\text{cumsum(cumsum(double(i)), 2);} \)
Computing sum within a rectangle

- Let A, B, C, D be the values of the integral image at the corners of a rectangle.
- Then the sum of original image values within the rectangle can be computed as:
  \[ \text{sum} = A - B - C + D \]
- Only 3 additions are required for any size of rectangle!
Example
Feature selection

• For a 24x24 detection region, the number of possible rectangle features is \( \sim 160,000 \)!
Feature selection

• For a 24x24 detection region, the number of possible rectangle features is ~160,000!
• At test time, it is impractical to evaluate the entire feature set
• Can we create a good classifier using just a small subset of all possible features?
• How to select such a subset?
Boosting

- Boosting is a classification scheme that combines weak learners into a more accurate ensemble classifier

- Training procedure
  - Initially, weight each training example equally
  - In each boosting round:
    - Find the weak learner that achieves the lowest weighted training error
    - Raise the weights of training examples misclassified by current weak learner
  - Compute final classifier as linear combination of all weak learners (weight of each learner is directly proportional to its accuracy)
    - Exact formulas for re-weighting and combining weak learners depend on the particular boosting scheme (e.g., AdaBoost)

Boosting for face detection

• Define weak learners based on rectangle features

$$h_t(x) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } p_t f_t(x) > p_t \theta_t \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}$$

• For each round of boosting:
  • Evaluate each rectangle filter on each example
  • Select best filter/threshold combination based on weighted training error
  • Reweight examples
Boosting for face detection

• First two features selected by boosting:

This feature combination can yield 100% detection rate and 50% false positive rate.
Boosting vs. SVM

• Advantages of boosting
  • Integrates classifier training with feature selection
  • Complexity of training is linear instead of quadratic in the number of training examples
  • Flexibility in the choice of weak learners, boosting scheme
  • Testing is fast
  • Easy to implement

• Disadvantages
  • Needs many training examples
  • Training is slow
  • Often doesn’t work as well as SVM (especially for many-class problems)
Boosting for face detection

- A 200-feature classifier can yield 95% detection rate and a false positive rate of 1 in 14084

Not good enough!

Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve
Attentional cascade

• We start with simple classifiers which reject many of the negative sub-windows while detecting almost all positive sub-windows

• Positive response from the first classifier triggers the evaluation of a second (more complex) classifier, and so on

• A negative outcome at any point leads to the immediate rejection of the sub-window
Attentional cascade

- Chain classifiers that are progressively more complex and have lower false positive rates:
Attentional cascade

- The detection rate and the false positive rate of the cascade are found by multiplying the respective rates of the individual stages.
- A detection rate of 0.9 and a false positive rate on the order of $10^{-6}$ can be achieved by a 10-stage cascade if each stage has a detection rate of 0.99 ($0.99^{10} \approx 0.9$) and a false positive rate of about 0.30 ($0.3^{10} \approx 6 \times 10^{-6}$).
Training the cascade

• Set target detection and false positive rates for each stage

• Keep adding features to the current stage until its target rates have been met
  • Need to lower AdaBoost threshold to maximize detection (as opposed to minimizing total classification error)
  • Test on a validation set

• If the overall false positive rate is not low enough, then add another stage

• Use false positives from current stage as the negative training examples for the next stage
The implemented system

• Training Data
  • 5000 faces
    – All frontal, rescaled to 24x24 pixels
  • 300 million non-faces
    – 9500 non-face images
  • Faces are normalized
    – Scale, translation

• Many variations
  • Across individuals
  • Illumination
  • Pose
System performance

- Training time: “weeks” on 466 MHz Sun workstation
- 38 layers, total of 6061 features
- Average of 10 features evaluated per window on test set
- “On a 700 Mhz Pentium III processor, the face detector can process a 384 by 288 pixel image in about .067 seconds”
  - 15 Hz
  - 15 times faster than previous detector of comparable accuracy (Rowley et al., 1998)
**Other detection tasks**

Facial Feature Localization  
Profile Detection  
Male vs. female
Profile Detection
Profile Features
Summary: Viola/Jones detector

- Rectangle features
- Integral images for fast computation
- Boosting for feature selection
- Attentional cascade for fast rejection of negative windows