

Computing Models

- A simple computer model with a unified notion of "data" and "instructions"
 - "Von Neumann" architecture model
 - The first key idea is a model of "memory"
- Others
 - Computing with a table, state-machines, Turing machines with many procedures, etc.

Memory

- Memory stores bits
- Bits are grouped into larger clusters called words
- Each word has an address and contents
 - Address is a memory location's "Name"
 - Contents are a memory location's "Value"
- Memory stores "Data" and "Instructions"
- We often refer to addresses symbolically like variables in algebra



An Array of Words

- Addresses are organized sequentially in an array
- Addresses are
 - Numerical
 - Symbolic (Label)
- The numerical address is fixed (governed by the hardware)
- Labels are user defined



Words = {Instructions, Data}

- Each word of memory can be interpreted as either binary data (number, character, a bit pattern, etc.) or as an instructions
- Not all bit patterns are valid instructions, however.
- Instructions cause the computer to perform a operation
- A program is a collection of instructions
- In general, instructions are executed sequentially

Execution Loop



- The execution of a program is governed by a simple repetitive loop
- Typically, instructions are fetched from sequential addresses
- A special register, call the program counter (PC), is used to point to the current instruction in memory

The Stored-Program Computer



Anatomy of an Instruction

- Instruction sets have a simple structure
- Broken into fields
 - Operation (Opcode) Verb
 - Operands Noun
- Recipes provide a near perfect analogy Operation Operando



Instruction Operands

- Operands come from three sources
 - Memory
 - As an immediate constant
 - (part of the instruction)
 - From one of several a special "scratch-pad" locations called "registers"
- Registers hold temporary results
- Most operations are performed using the contents of registers
- Registers can be the "source" or "destination" or instructions

UNC-101

- The UNC-101 is a simple 16-bit computer
- It has
 - 65536 or 2¹⁶ memory locations
 - Each location has 16-bits
 - 15 registers, that are referred to as (\$1-\$15)
 - A special operand, \$0, that can be used anywhere that a register is allowed. It provides a value of 0, and cannot write to it
 - A simple instruction set

Instructions: Concrete Examples

addi \$4, \$5, 1

$Register[4] \leftarrow Register[5] + 1$

- All instructions are broken to parts
 - Operation codes (Opcodes), usually mnemonic
 - Operands usually stylized (e.g. "\$" implies the contents of the register, whose number follows)

Arithmetic Instructions

- add \$D, \$A, \$B Reg[D] ← Reg[A] + Reg[B] sub \$D, \$A, \$B Reg[D] ← Reg[A] - Reg[B] sgt \$D, \$A, \$B Reg[D] ← 1 if (Reg[A] > Reg[B]) O, otherwise
- Where D, A, B are one of {1,2, ... 15}
- All operands come from registers

Immediate Arithmetic Instructions

addi \$D, \$A, imm subi \$D, \$A, imm sgti \$D, \$A, imm

Reg[D] ← Reg[A] + imm Reg[D] ← Reg[A] - imm Reg[D] ← 1 if (Reg[A] > imm)

0, otherwise

- Where D, A are one of {1,2, ... 15}
- 2 operands come from registers
- Third, "Immediate" operand is a constant, which is encoded as part of the instructions

Multiply? Divide?

- You may have noticed that some math function are missing, such as multiply and divide
- Often, more complicated operations are implemented using a series of instructions called a routine
- Simple operations lead to faster computers, because it is often the case the speed of a computer is limited by the most complex task it has to perform. Thus, simple instructions permit fast computer (KISS principle)

KISS == RISC?

- In the later 20 years of the 1900's computer architectures focused on developing simple computers that were able to execute as fast as possible
- Led to minimalist, and simple, instruction sets
 Do a few things fast
 - Compose more complicated operations from a series of simple ones
- Collectively, these computers were called Reduced Instruction Set Computers (RISC)

Load/Store

- Certain instructions are reserved for accessing the contents of memory
- The *only* instructions that access memory
- Move data to registers, operate on it, save it

st \$D,\$A Id \$D,\$A stx \$D,\$A,imm Idx \$D,\$A,imm

 $memory[Reg[A]] \leftarrow Reg[D]$ $Reg[D] \leftarrow memory[Reg[A]]$ $memory[Reg[A]+imm] \leftarrow Reg[D]$ $Reg[D] \leftarrow memory[Reg[A]+imm]$

Bitwise Logic Instructions

and D, A, Bor D, A, B $Reg[D] \leftarrow Reg[A] \& Reg[B]$ $Reg[D] \leftarrow Reg[A] | Reg[B]$ $Reg[D] \leftarrow Reg[A] ^ Reg[B]$ $Reg[D] \leftarrow Reg[A] ^ Reg[B]$

- Where D, A, B are one of {1,2, ... 15}
- All operands come from registers
 Performs a bitwise 2-input Boolean operation
- Performs a bitwise 2-input Boolean operation on the bits of the A and B operands and saves the result in D
- Assuming Reg[1] = 12 (0x000c) and Reg[2] = 10 (0x000a) and \$3,\$1,\$2 # gives Reg[3] = 8 (0x0008) or \$3,\$1,\$2 # gives Reg[3] = 14 (0x000e) xor \$3,\$1,\$2 # gives Reg[3] = 6 (0x0006)

Closing the Gap

- A computer language closer to one we'd speak
 - High-Level construct:
 total = item1 + item2
 - Assembly language:
 - ldx \$1,\$0,item1
 - Idx \$2,\$0,item2
 - add \$1,\$1,\$2
 - stx \$1,\$0,total

 Binary (machine language):
 0xf10f, 0x0008, 0xf20f, 0x0009, 0x0112, 0xf10e, 0x0007

An Assembler

- A symbolic machine language
- One-to-one correspondence between computer instruction = line of assembly
- Translates symbolic code to binary machine code
- Manages tedious details
 - Locating the program in memory
 - Figures out addresses
 - (e.g. item1 rather than 0x0008)
- Generates a list of numbers

Assembly Code



Assembly Errors

 Generally, the assembler will generate a useful error message to help correcting your program

> add \$1,\$1,1 beq \$0,\$0,loop mul \$1,\$2,\$3 ldx array,\$0,\$1



Labels

- Declaration
 - At the beginning of a line
 - Ends with a colon
- Reference
 - Anywhere that an immediate operand is allowed

Closing the Gap...

- Understand how to program computers at a "high-level", much closer to a spoken language
- Computers require precise, unambiguous, instructions
- Computers have no context... like people do
- However, we can imagine "higher-level" instructions and "systematic" methods for converting them into "low-level" assembly instructions

Accessing Array Variables

- What we want:
 - The vector of related variables referenced via numeric subscripts rather than distinct names
- Examples: "MIPS Assembly"

```
"High-Level Language"
```

int a[5];

```
main() {
    int i = 3;
```

```
a[i] = 2;
```

ł

```
main: ldx $2,$0,i
addi $3,$0,2
stx $3,$2,a
halt: beq $0,$0,$0,halt
a: .space 5
i: .data 3
```

Accessing a "Data Structure"

- What we want:
 - Data structures are another aggregate variable type, where elements have "names" rather than indices
- Examples:

"High-Level Language"

struct point {
 int x, y;
}

```
} p;
```

main() {

```
p.x = 3;
p.y = 2;
```

"Assembly"
main: addi \$1,\$0,p
 addi \$2,\$0,3
 stx \$2,\$1,0
 addi \$2,\$0,2
 stx \$2,\$1,1
halt: beq \$0,\$0,\$0,halt Allocates space for
 2 uninitialized
 integers (8-bytes)
p: .space 2

Conditionals

High-Level

if (expr) { STUFF

High-Level
if (expr) {
 STUFF1
} else {
 STUFF2

Assembly:

(compute expr in \$rx) beq \$0,\$rx,\$0,Lendif (compile STUFF) Lendif:

Assembly:

(compute expr in \$rx)
beq \$0,\$rx,\$0,Lelse
(compile STUFF1)
beq \$0,\$0,\$0,Lendif
Lelse:
(compile STUFF2)

(compile STUFF2) Lendif: There are little tricks that come into play when compiling conditional code blocks. For instance, the statement:

if (y < 32) {
 x = x + 1;
}</pre>

becomes: ldx \$2,\$0,y sgei \$1,\$2,32 bne \$0,\$1,\$0,Lendif ldx \$2,\$0,x addi \$2,\$2,1 stx \$2,\$0,x Lendif:

Loops

```
High-Level:
while (expr) {
STUFF
}
```

Assembly:

Lwhile:

(compute expr in \$rx)
beq \$0,\$rX,\$0,Lendw
(compile STUFF)
beq \$0,\$0,\$0,Lwhile
Lendw:

Alternate Assembly:

```
beq $0,$0,$0,Ltest
```

```
Lwhile:
(compile STUFF)
```

```
Ltest:
```

```
(compute expr in $rx)
bne $0,$rX,$0,Lwhile
```

```
Lendw:
```

Computers spend a lot of time executing loops. Generally loops come in 3 flavors:

- do something "while" a statement is true
- do something "until" a statement becomes true
- repeat something a prescribed number of times

FOR Loops

 Most high-level languages provide loop constructs that establish and update an iteration variable that controls the loop's behavior

High-Level code:	Assem	ibly:			
int sum = 0;	Lfor:	add 1d x	\$3,\$0,\$0 \$2,\$0,sum	#	i=0
<pre>int a[10] = {1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10};</pre>		ldx add stx	\$1,\$3,a \$2,\$2,\$1 \$2,\$0,sum		
<pre>int i; for (i=0; i<10; i=i+1) { sum = sum + a[i];</pre>	Lendfor	add sgei beq :	\$3,\$3,1 \$2,\$3,10 \$0,\$2,\$0,Lfor	#	i=i+1
}	sum: a:	.data .data .data	a 0x0 a 1,2,3,4,5 a 6,7,8,9,10		

Procedures

 Procedures or "subroutines" are reusable code fragments, that are "called", executed, and then return back from where they were called from.



Procedure Body

- The "Callee" executes its instructions and then "returns" back to the "Caller"
- Uses the jump register (jr) instruction

routine:	add	\$2,\$0,\$0	
	addi	\$3,\$0,1	
loop:	sge	\$4,\$1,\$3	
	beq	\$0,\$4,\$0,return	
	sub	\$1,\$1,\$3	
	addi	\$2,\$2,1	
	addi	\$3,\$3,2	Thie returne back
	beq	\$0,\$0,\$0,loop	to the caller, \$15
return:	jr	\$0,\$15 _	/
	·		>
		7	5

ンム

Parameters

- Most interesting functions have parameters that are "passed" to them by the caller
- Examples Mult(x, y), Sqrt(x)
- Caller and Callee must agree on a way to pass parameters and return results. Usually this is done by a convention
- For example, we could pass parameters in sequential registers (\$1,\$2, \$3, etc.) and a single returned value in the next available register.

