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Goals

• Discuss functional languages
Functional Features

• Most functional languages Provide
  • Functions as first-class values
  • Higher-order functions
  • List Type (operators on lists)
  • Recursion
  • Structured function return
  • Garbage collection
  • Polymorphism and type inference
So Why Functional?

- Teaches truly recursive algorithms
- Implicit Polymorphism
- Natural expressiveness for symbolic and algebraic computations
  - Algorithms clearly map to the code that implements them
History

• Lambda-calculus as semantic model (Church)
• LISP (1958, MIT, McCarthy)

(defun fib (n)
 (if (or (= n 0) (= n 1))
  1
  (+ (fib (- n 1))
     (fib (- n 2)))))
History

- Lisp
  - Dynamic Scoping
- Common Lisp (CL), Scheme
  - Static scoping
- ML
  - Typing, type inference, fewer parentheses
- Haskell, Miranda
  - pure functional
LISP Properties

• Homogeneity of programs and data
  • Programs are lists and a program can examine/change itself while running

• Self-Definition
  • Easy to write a Lisp interpreter in Lisp
Can Programming be Liberated from the von Neumann Style?

• This is the title of a lecture given by John Backus when he received the Turing Award in 1977.

• In this, he pointed out that the program should be abstract description of algorithm rather than sequences of changes in the state of the memory.
  
  • He called for raising the level of abstraction
  
  • A way to realize this goal is functional programming

• Programs written in modern functional programming languages are a set of mathematical relationships between objects
  
  • No explicit memory management takes place
Evaluation Order

• Functional programs are evaluated following a reduction (or evaluation or simplification) process

• There are two common ways of reducing expressions
  
  • Application order
    
    • Impatient evaluation
  
  • Normal order
    
    • Lazy evaluation
Applicative Order

• In applicative order, expressions are evaluated following the parsing tree (deeper expressions are evaluated first)

\[
\text{square } (3 + 4) \\
= \{ \text{definition of } + \} \\
\quad \text{square } 7 \\
= \{ \text{definition of square } \} \\
\quad 7 \times 7 \\
= \{ \text{definition of } * \} \\
\quad 49
\]
Normal Order

• In Normal order, expressions are evaluated only as their value is needed

\[
\text{square } (3 + 4) \\
= \{ \text{definition of square } \} \\
(3 + 4) \times (3 + 4) \\
= \{ \text{definition of } + \text{ applied to first term } \} \\
7 \times (3 + 4) \\
= \{ \text{definition of } + \text{ applied to second term } \} \\
7 \times 7 \\
= \{ \text{definition of } * \} \\
49
\]
Haskell Evaluation Order

- Haskell is a lazy functional programming language
- Expressions are evaluated in normal order
- Identical expressions are evaluated only once

\[
square(3 + 4) \\
= \{ \text{definition of square} \} \\
(3 + 4) \times (3 + 4) \\
= \{ \text{definition of } + \text{ applied both terms} \} \\
7 \times 7 \\
= \{ \text{definition of } \times \} \\
49
\]
ML History

• ML Stands for “Meta-language”

• Developed in 1970s by Robert Milner at the University of Edinburgh

• Characteristics
  • Functional control structures
  • strict, formal semantics (provable correctness)
  • Strict polymorphic type system
    • Coercion not allowed
  • Still subject of active industry research
    • Microsoft promotes variant called F# for their .NET framework
Recursion

• Recursion requires no special syntax
• Recursion and logically-controlled iteration are equally powerful

\[
gcd(a, b) = \begin{cases} 
  a & \text{if } a = b \\
  gcd(a - b, b) & \text{if } a > b \\
  gcd(a, b - a) & \text{if } a < b \\
\end{cases}
\]
Recursion

\[
gcd(a, b) = \begin{cases} 
a & \text{if } a = b \\
gcd(a - b, b) & \text{if } a > b \\
gcd(a, b - a) & \text{if } a < b \\
\end{cases}
\]

int gcd(int a, int b) {
    if (a == b) return a;
    else if (a > b) return gcd(a - b, b);
    else return gcd(a, b);
}

Iteration

int gcd(int a, int b) {
    while (a != b) {
        if (a > b) { a = a - b; }
        else { b = b - a; }
    }
    return a;
}
Tail Recursion

- **Tail recursion** is when no computation occurs after the recursive statement.
- The advantage of tail recursion is that space can be reused.

```c
int gcd(int a, int b) {
    if (a == b) return a;
    else if (a > b) return gcd(a - b, b);
    else return gcd(a, b);
}
```
Tail Recursion

```c
int gcd(int a, int b) {
    if (a == b) return a;
    else if (a > b) return gcd(a - b, b);
    else return gcd(a, b);
}
```
Tail Recursion

```
int gcd(int a, int b) {
    int x;
    if (a == b) x = a;
    else if (a > b) x = gcd(a-b, b);
    else x = gcd(a, b);
    return x;
}
```
Function Definitions in ML

• Tail-Recursive Functions:

```ml
fun fib(n)=
  let fun fib_helper(f1, f2, i) = 
    if i = n then f2
    else fib_helper(f2, f1+f2, i+1)
  in
    fib_helper(0,1,0)
  end;

fib(7);
```

• On good implementations, equivalent in speed (and sometimes machine code) to iterative version!

• What is the **inferred** type of this function?
Types in ML

• Built-in Types:
  • Integer
  • Real
  • String
  • Char
  • Boolean

• From these we can construct
  • **Tuples**: Heterogeneous element types with finite fixed length
    • (#“a”, 5, 3.0, “hello”, true): char *int *real*string*bool
  • Lists:
    • [5.0, 3.2, 6.7] : real list
    • [(# “a”, 7), (# “b”, 8)]: (char *int)list

• Functions
• Records
Types inference in ML

• Everything is inferred; ML complains if anything is ambiguous.

fun circum(r) = r * 2.0 * 3.14159;
circum(7.0);

• What is the inferred type of \( r \)? Why?

• How about the function?
  • \( r \) must be of type real.
    • Can be explicit by defining fun \( \text{circum}(r:\text{real}) \).
  • Type of function \( \text{circum} \): real-\( \rightarrow \) real
Polymorphism in ML

Consider the following function in ML:

```ml
fun compare(x,p,q) = 
  if x = p then 
    if x = q then "both"
    else "first"
  else 
    if x = q then "second"
    either "neither"
```


Polymorphism in ML

Consider the following function in ML:

```ml
fun compare(x,p,q) = 
  if x = p then 
    if x = q then “both” 
    else “first” 
  else 
    if x = q then “second” 
    either “neither”
```

What is type of compare? x? p? q?
Polymorphism in ML

Consider the following function in ML:

```ml
fun compare(x,p,q) = 
  if x = p then 
    if x = q then "both"
    else "first"
  else 
    if x = q then "second"
    either "neither"
```

What is type of compare? x? p? q?
`a*`a*`a->string`
All of these are valid:

```ml
fun compare(x,p,q) = 
  if x = p then 
    if x = q then "both"
    else "first"
  else 
    if x = q then "second"
    either "neither"
```

```ml
compare(1,2,3);
compare(1,1,1);
let val t = ("larry", "moe", "curly") in compare(t) end;
```
Type Checking

• ML verifies **type consistency**
• Set of constraints
  • All occurrences of same identifier (in same scope) have the same type.
  • In an `if...then...else...` construct, if condition must have type `bool`, and `then` and `else` must have same type.
  • Programmer defines functions have type `a->b` where `a` is type of function parameters and `b` is type of function return.
  • When function is called, the arguments passed and value returned must have same type as definition.
• Process of checking if two types are the same is called **unification**.
Lists in ML

• Heterogeneous & Homogeneous Lists operator:

  • Appending (joining) two lists:

\[[1,4][3,5] \Rightarrow [1,4,3,5]\]
\[("hi", 3.0)(4, "bye") \Rightarrow ("hi", 3.0, 4, "bye")\]

  • Prefixing a list with an item:

\[1::[2,7,9] \Rightarrow [1,2,7,9];\]
**NOTE:** \[[2,7,9][::1\] is illegal (use \[[2,7,9][@[1]\ instead)**
Lists in ML

• Heterogeneous & Homogeneous lists

• Appending (joining) two lists:

  \[ [1,4] \oplus [3,5] \Rightarrow [1,4,3,5] \]
  \( ("hi", 3.0) \oplus (4, "bye") \Rightarrow ("hi", 3.0, 4, "bye") \)

• Prefixing a list with an item:

  \[ 1 \oplus [2,7,9] \Rightarrow [1,2,7,9] \]

  **NOTE:** \([2,7,9] \oplus 1\) is illegal (use \([2,7,9] \oplus [1]\) instead)

Other useful List functions:

- \(hd\) = head
- \(tl\) = tail
- \(nth\) = list element selector
- \(rev\) = reverse a list
- \(length\) = number of elements
Function Pattern Matching in ML

- Function definition as series of alternatives:

  ```ml
  fun appends(l1, l2) = 
    if l1 = nil then l2 
  else hd(l1) :: append(tl(l1), l2);
  ```

- Becomes

  ```ml
  fun append(nil, l2) = l2 
  | append(h::t, l2) = h :: append(t, l2);
  ```
Function Pattern Matching in ML

• More complex example

```haskell
fun split(nil) = (nil, nil)
  | split([a]) = ([a], nil)
  | split(a::b::cs) = 
    let val (M,N) = split(cs)
  in
    (a::M,b::N)
  end;
```
Higher-Order Functions

Higher-order functions are functions that take functions as arguments and/or return functions

fun map(F, nil) = nil
  | map(F, x::cs) = F(x)::map(F,xs);

To Add 5 to every integer we could...

fun add5(x) = x+5;
map add5, [3,24,7,9]; => [8,29,12,14]

map (fn x=> x+5) [3,24,7,9]; => [8,29,12,14]
“Currying” in ML

Currying is a method in which a **multiple argument function** is replaced by a **single argument function** that returns a function with the remaining arguments.

```ml
fun add(x,y) = x + y : int;
>> val add = fn int * int -> int

fun add x = fn y=> x+y;
>> val add = fn int -> int ->int

fun add x y = x+y;
>> val add = fn int -> int ->int
```
Standard ML of New Jersey

- Download and Install from
  - http://www.smlnj.org/smlnk.html
- to run (after installation): Type “sml”
- Try some stuff from Stott’s ML Class notes.
- If you want to exit type:
  - OS.Process.exit(OS.Process.success);
  - OR press Ctrl-D
Scope in ML is Lexical

• Top level environment has all pre-defined bindings

• Every val binding adds another row to the symbol table when compiling/interpreting

• Each row hides earlier bindings of the same name (does not destroy them)

• Local bindings can be made in functions definitions

• Locals are removed from the symbol stack when the function definition is complete
Binding of Referencing Environments

• Scope rules are used to determine the reference environment
  • Static and dynamic scoping

• Some languages allow references to subroutines
  • Are the scope rules applied when the reference is created or when the subroutine is called?

• In shallow (late) binding, the referencing environment is bound when the subroutine is called

• In deep (early) binding, the referencing environment is bound when the reference is created.
ML Example

- val x = 5;
  val x = 5 : int
- fun wow z = z + x;
  val wow = fn : int -> int
- wow 9;
  val it = 14 : int
- val x = 10;
  val x = 10 : int
- wow 9; (* wow uses x = 5 *)
  val it = 14 : int

• Earlier binding of x is used due to static scope rules
Earlier binding of x is used due to static scope rules.

What if we pass the function wow as an argument to another function declared after the new binding x = 10 has been created?

val it = 14 : int

Earlier binding of x is used due to static scope rules.
ML Example

- `val x = 5;`
  `val x = 5 : int`
- `fun wow z = z + x;`
  `val wow = fn : int -> int`
- `val x = 10;`
  `val x = 10 : int`
- `fun twice (a,b) = b (a * 2);`
  `val twice = fn : int * (int -> 'a) -> 'a`
- `twice (3, wow); (* still uses x = 5 *)`
  `val it = 11 : int`

• Deep binding uses original reference environment
Deep and Shallow Binding

• **Deep Binding, Shallow Binding** are both concepts related to giving a function/subroutine a referencing environment in which to run.

• This is important when a subprogram is passed in or out as a parameter to another (i.e., a “funarg”).

Some questions:
When a funarg that is passed in is run, does it use the environment it has when run? or the when when defined? Also, when a funarg is passed out and run the environment it created in is gone; how do we deal with that?
Closures

• Deep binding is implemented using **closures**
  • Remember them from chapter 3?

• A closure is the **combination of a reference to a subroutine and an explicit representation of its referencing environment**
Referential Transparency

• Bindings are immutable.

• Any time you see a name, you may substitute in the value bound to that name and NOT alter the semantics of the expression.

• “no side effects.”

• Functional programing languages try to enforce referential transparency.
  
  • ML is not pure functional: “Don’t get lulled into a false sense of referential transparency” (from ML for the Working Programmer)
Referential Transparency

• "equals can be substituted for equals"

• If two expressions are defined to have equal values, then one can be substituted for the other in any expression without affecting the result of the computation.

• For example, in

\[
s = \sqrt{2}; \quad z = f(s, s); \quad \text{we can write} \quad z = f(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2});
\]
Referential Transparency

• A function is called **referentially transparent** if given the same parameter(s), it always **returns the same result**.

• In **mathematics all functions** are referentially transparent.

• In **programming this is not always the case**, with use of imperative features in languages.
  - The subroutine/function called could affect some global variable that will cause a second invocation to return a different value.

• Input/Output
  - In ML, what if we replace `print “abc”` by its return value ( )
Why is referential transparency important?

• Because it allows the programmer to **reason about program behavior**, which can help in proving correctness, finding bugs that couldn’t be found by testing, simplifying the algorithm, assist in modify the code without breaking it, or even finding ways of optimizing it.

```plaintext
s = sqrt(9);
// can replace x with:
// sqrt(9)*sqrt(9) + 17 *k/(sqrt(9)-1) = 9+17*k/2;
```
Advantages of functional programming (Scott)

- Lack of side effects makes programs easier to understand
- Lack of explicit evaluation order (in some languages) offers possibility of parallel evaluation (e.g. MultiLisp)
- Lack of side effects and explicit evaluation order simplifies some things for a compiler (provided you don't blow it in other ways)
- Programs are often surprisingly short
- Language can be extremely small and yet powerful
Problems for functional programming (Scott)

• Difficult (but not impossible!) to implement efficiently on von Neumann machines
  • Lots of copying of data through parameters
  • (Apparent) need to create a whole new array in order to change one element
  • Very heavy use of pointers (space and time and locality problem)
  • Frequent procedure calls
  • Heavy space use for recursion
  • Requires garbage collection
Problems for functional programming (Scott)

• Requires a different mode of thinking by the programmer

• Difficult to integrate I/O into purely functional model
  • Leading approach is the monads of Haskell -- sort of an imperative wrapper around a purely functional program; allows functions to be used not only to calculate values, but also to decide on the order in which imperative actions should be performed.