Operands and Addressing Modes



- Where is the data?
- Addresses as data
- Names and Values
- Indirection

Just enough C

For our purposes C is almost identical to JAVA except:

C has "functions", JAVA has "methods".

function \equiv method without "class".

A global method.

C has "pointers" explicitly. JAVA has them but hides them under the covers.

C pointers

int i; // simple integer variable
int a[10]; // array of integers
int *p; // pointer to integer(s)

* (expression) is content of address computed by expression.

$$a[k] \equiv *(a+k)$$

a is a constant of type "int *"

 $a[k] = a[k+1] \equiv *(a+k) = *(a+k+1)$

Legal uses of C Pointers

int i; // simple integer variable int a[10]; // array of integers int *p; // pointer to integer(s)

p = &i; // & means address of p = a; // no need for & on a p = &a[5]; // address of 6th element of a *p // value of location pointed by p *p = 1; // change value of that location *(p+1) = 1; // change value of next location p[1] = 1; // exactly the same as above p++; // step pointer to the next element

Legal uses of Pointers

int i; // simple integer variable
int a[10];// array of integers
int *p; // pointer to integer(s)

So what happens when p = &i; What is value of p[0]? What is value of p[1]?

C Pointers vs. object size

Does "p++" really add 1 to the pointer? NO! It adds 4. Why 4?

char *q;

• • •

q++; // really does add 1

Clear123

```
void clear1(int array[], int size) {
  for(int i=0; i<size; i++)</pre>
      array[i] = 0;
}
void clear2(int *array, int size) {
  for(int *p = &array[0]; p < &array[size]; p++)</pre>
      *p = 0;
}
void clear3(int *array, int size) {
  int *arrayend = array + size;
  while(array < arrayend) *array++ = 0;</pre>
}
```

Pointer summary

- In the "C" world and in the "machine" world:
 - a pointer is just the address of an object in memory
 - size of pointer is fixed regardless of size of object
 - to get to the next object increment by the object's size in bytes
 - to get the the ith object add i*sizeof(object)
- More details:
 - int $R[5] \equiv R$ is int* constant address of 20 bytes storage
 - $R[i] \equiv *(R+i)$
 - int *p = $\&R[3] \equiv p = (R+3)$ (p points 12 bytes after R)

Last Time - "Machine" Language

32-bit (4-byte) ADD instruction:

Means, to MIPS, Reg[3] = Reg[4] + Reg[2]

But, most of us would prefer to write add \$3, \$4, \$2 (ASSEMBLER) or, better yet, a = b+c; (C)

Revisiting Operands

- Operands the variables needed to perform an instruction's operation
- Three types in the MIPS ISA:
 - Register:

add \$2, \$3, \$4 # operands are the "Contents" of a register

- Immediate:

addi \$2,\$2,1 # 2^{nd} source operand is part of the instruction

- Register-Indirect:

Iw \$2, 12(\$28)# source operand is in memorysw \$2, 12(\$28)# destination operand is memory

• Simple enough, but is it enough?

Common "Addressing Modes"

- Absolute (Direct): 1w \$8, 0x1000 (\$0)
 - Value = Mem[constant]
 - Use: accessing static data
- Indirect: 1w \$8, 0(\$9)
 - Value = Mem[Reg[x]]
 - Use: pointer accesses
- **Displacement:** 1w \$8, 16(\$9)
 - Value = Mem[Reg[x] + constant]
 - Use: access to local variables
- Indexed:
 - Value = Mem[Reg[x] + Reg[y]]
 - Use: array accesses (base+index)

• Memory indirect:

- Value = Mem[Mem[Reg[x]]]
- Use: access thru pointer in mem
- Autoincrement:
 - Value = Mem[Reg[x]]; Reg[x]++
 - Use: sequential pointer accesses
- Autodecrement:
 - Value = Reg[X]--; Mem[Reg[x]]
 - Use: stack operations
- Scaled:
 - Value = Mem[Reg[x] + c + d*Reg[y]]
 - Use: array accesses (base+index)

Common "Addressing Modes"

- Absolute (Direct): 1w \$8, 0x1000 (\$0)
 - Value = Mem[constant]
 - Use: accessing static data
- Indirect: 1w \$8, 0(\$9)
 - Value = Mem[Reg[x]]
 - Use: pointer accesses
- **Displacement:** 1w \$8, 16(\$9)
 - Value = Mem[Reg[x] + constant]
 - Use: access to local variables
- Indexed:
 - Value = Mem[Reg[x] + Reg[y]]
 - Use: array accesses (base+index)

- Memory indirect:
 - Value = Mem[Mem[Reg[x]]]
 - Use: access thru pointer in mem
- Autoincrement:
 - Value = Mem[Reg[x]]; Reg[x]++
 - Use: sequential pointer accesses
- Autodecrement:
 - Value = Reg[X]--; Mem[Reg[x]]
 - Use: stack operations
- Scaled:
 - Value = Mem[Reg[x] + c + d*Reg[y]]
 - Use: array accesses (base+index)

Argh! Is the complexity worth the cost? Need a cost/benefit analysis!

Common "Addressing Modes"

MIPS can do these with appropriate choices for Ra and const

- Absolute (Direct): 1w \$8, 0x1000 (\$0)
 - Value = Mem[constant]
 - Use: accessing static data
- Indirect: 1w \$8, 0(\$9)
 - Value = Mem[Reg[x]]
 - Use: pointer accesses
- **Displacement:** 1w \$8, 16(\$9)
 - Value = Mem[Reg[x] + constant]
 - Use: access to local variables
- Indexed:
 - Value = Mem[Reg[x] + Reg[y]]
 - Use: array accesses (base+index)

- Memory indirect:
 - Value = Mem[Mem[Reg[x]]]
 - Use: access thru pointer in mem
- Autoincrement:
 - Value = Mem[Reg[x]]; Reg[x]++
 - Use: sequential pointer accesses
- Autodecrement:
 - Value = Reg[X]--; Mem[Reg[x]]
 - Use: stack operations
- Scaled:
 - Value = Mem[Reg[x] + c + d*Reg[y]]
 - Use: array accesses (base+index)

Argh! Is the complexity worth the cost? Need a cost/benefit analysis!

Memory Operands: Usage



Usage of different memory operand modes

© 2003 Elsevier Science (USA). All rights reserved.

Absolute (Direct) Addressing

- What we want:
 - The contents of a specific memory location
- Examples:

l	"MIPS Assembly"
"С"	.data
<pre>int x = 10;</pre>	.global x
	x: .word 10
<pre>main() {</pre>	
$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x} + 1;$.text
}	.global main
	main:
	lw \$2,x(\$0)
	addi \$2,\$2,1
	sw \$2,x(\$0)

- Caveats
 - In practice \$gp is used instead of \$0
 - Can only address the first and last 32K of memory this way
 - Sometimes generates a two instruction sequence:

Absolute (Direct) Addressing

- What we want:
 - The contents of a specific memory location
- Examples:

8	"MIPS Assembly"
"C"	.data
<pre>int x = 10;</pre>	.global x
	x: .word 10
main() {	
$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x} + 1;$.text
}	.global main
	main:
	lw \$2,x(\$0)
	addi \$2,\$2,1
	sw \$2,x(\$0)

- Caveats
 - In practice \$gp is used instead of \$0
 - Can only address the first and last 32K of memory this way
 - Sometimes generates a two instruction sequence:

```
lui $1,xhighbits
lw $2,xlowbits($1)
```

Absolute (Direct) Addressing

- What we want:
 - The contents of a specific memory location
- Examples:

- Caveats
 - In practice \$gp is used instead of \$0
 - Can only address the first and last 32K of memory this way
 - Sometimes generates a two instruction sequence:

```
lui $1, xhighbits
lw $2, xlowbits ($1)
```

Indirect Addressing

- What we want:
 - The contents of a memory location held in a register
 - "MIPS Assembly" **Examples:** .data .global x "C" x: .word 10 int x = 10: .text main() { .global main main: int *y = &x;*v = 2;\$2,x la addi \$3,\$0,2 } \$3,0(\$2) SW
- Caveats

 You must make sure that the register contains a valid address (double, word, or short aligned as required)

Indirect Addressing

- It's a convenient What we want: pseudoinstruction that constructs a constant via The contents of a memory either a 1 instruction or location held in a register 2 instruction sequence "MIPS Assembly" **Examples:** \$2,\$0,**x** ori .data .global x "(" \$2, xhighbits lui x: .word 10 ori \$2,\$2,xlowbits int x = 10: .text main() { .global main int *y = &x;main: *v = 2;\$2,x ⁻ la addi \$3,\$0,2 } \$3,0(\$2) SW Caveats
 - You must make sure that the register contains a valid address (double, word, or short aligned as required)

"la" is not a real instruction.

Displacement Addressing

• What we want:

- The contents of a memory location relative to a register

8	"MIPS Assembly"
"С"	.data
int a[5];	.global a
	a: .space 20
<pre>main() {</pre>	
int i = 3;	.text
a[i] = 2;	.global main
}	main:
	addi \$2,\$0,3
	addi \$3,\$0,2
	sll \$1,\$2,2
	sw \$3,a(\$1)

- Caveats
 - Must multiply (shift) the "index" to be properly aligned

Displacement Addressing

• What we want:

- The contents of a memory location relative to a register

- Caveats
 - Must multiply (shift) the "index" to be properly aligned

Displacement Addressing: Once More

• What we want:

- The contents of a memory location relative to a register

"C"	"MIPS Assembly" . data
struct p {	.global p
<pre>int x, y; }</pre>	p: .space 8
<pre>main() {</pre>	.text
p.x = 3;	.global main
p.y = 2;	main:
}	la \$1,p
	addi \$2,\$0,3
	sw \$2,0(\$1)
	addi \$2,\$0,2
	sw \$2,4(\$1)

- Caveats
 - Constants offset to the various fields of the structure
 - Structures larger than 32K use a different approach

Displacement Addressing: Once More

• What we want:

- The contents of a memory location relative to a register

- Caveats
 - Constants offset to the various fields of the structure
 - Structures larger than 32K use a different approach

Conditionals

C code:
if (expr) {
 STUFF
}

C code:

```
if (expr) {
    STUFF1
} else {
    STUFF2
}
```

MIPS assembly:

(compute expr in \$rx)
beq \$rx, \$0, Lendif
(compile STUFF)
Lendif:

MIPS assembly:

(compute expr in \$rx)
beq \$rx, \$0, Lelse
(compile STUFF1)
beq \$0, \$0, Lendif
Lelse:

(compile STUFF2) Lendif: There are little tricks that come into play when compiling conditional code blocks. For instance, the statement:

if (y > 32) {
 x = x + 1;
}

compiles to:

lw \$24, y
ori \$15, \$0, 32
slt \$1, \$15, \$24
beq \$1, \$0, Lendif
lw \$24, x
addi \$24, \$24, 1
sw \$24, x
Lendif:

Loops

C code:

while (expr) { STUFF }

MIPS assembly:

Lwhile:

(compute expr in \$rx)
beq \$rX,\$0,Lendw
(compile STUFF)
beq \$0,\$0,Lwhile
Lendw:

Alternate MIPS assembly:

beq \$0,\$0,Ltest

Lwhile: (compile STUFF)

Ltest:

(compute expr in \$rx)
bne \$rX,\$0,Lwhile
Lendw:

Compilers spend a lot of time optimizing in and around loops.

- moving all possible computations outside of loops
- unrolling loops to reduce branching overhead
- simplifying expressions that depend on "loop variables"

For Loops

 Most high-level languages provide loop constructs that establish and update an iteration variable, which is used to control the loop's behavior

```
MIPS assembly:
C code:
                                 sum:
                                     .word 0x0
int sum = 0;
                                 data:
int data[10] =
                                     .word 0x1, 0x2, 0x3, 0x4, 0x5
    \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10\};
                                     .word 0x6, 0x7, 0x8, 0x9, 0xa
                                     add $30,$0,$0
                                 Lfor:
int i;
                                     lw $24, sum($0)
for (i=0; i<10; i++) {
                                     sll $15,$30,2
    sum += data[i]
                                     lw $15,data($15)
}
                                     addu $24,$24,$15
                                     sw $24, sum
                                     add $30,$30,1
                                     slt $24,$30,10
                                     bne $24,$0,Lfor
                                 Lendfor:
```

Next Time

- We'll write some real assembly code
- Play with a simulator

