## Scheduling

Don Porter CSE 306

#### Last time

- We went through the high-level theory of scheduling algorithms
- Today: View into how Linux makes its scheduling decisions

### Lecture goals

- Understand low-level building blocks of a scheduler
- Understand competing policy goals
- Understand the O(1) scheduler
  - ✤ CFS next lecture
- Familiarity with standard Unix scheduling APIs

# (Linux) Terminology Review

- mm\_struct represents an address space in kernel
- ✤ task represents a thread in the kernel
  - A task points to 0 or 1 mm\_structs
    - Kernel threads just "borrow" previous task's mm, as they only execute in kernel address space
  - Many tasks can point to the same mm\_struct
    - ✤ Multi-threading
- Quantum CPU timeslice

#### Outline

- Policy goals (review)
- ✤ O(1) Scheduler
- Scheduling interfaces

# Policy goals

- ✤ Fairness everything gets a fair share of the CPU
- Real-time deadlines
  - CPU time before a deadline more valuable than time after
- Latency vs. Throughput: Timeslice length matters!
  - + GUI programs should feel responsive
  - CPU-bound jobs want long timeslices, better throughput
- User priorities
  - Virus scanning is nice, but I don't want it slowing things down

### No perfect solution

- Optimizing multiple variables
- Like memory allocation, this is best-effort
  - Some workloads prefer some scheduling strategies
- Nonetheless, some solutions are generally better than others

#### Outline

- ✤ Policy goals
- ✤ O(1) Scheduler
- Scheduling interfaces

## O(1) scheduler

- Goal: decide who to run next, independent of number of processes in system
  - Still maintain ability to prioritize tasks, handle partially unused quanta, etc

# O(1) Bookkeeping

- runqueue: a list of runnable processes
  - Blocked processes are not on any runqueue
  - ✤ A runqueue belongs to a specific CPU
  - Each task is on exactly one runqueue
    - Task only scheduled on runqueue's CPU unless migrated
- - ✤ 40 dynamic priority levels (more later)
  - ✤ 2 sets of runqueues one active and one expired

### O(1) Data Structures



# O(1) Intuition

- Take the first task off the lowest-numbered runqueue on active set
  - Confusingly: a lower priority value means higher priority
- When done, put it on appropriate runqueue on expired set
- Once active is completely empty, swap which set of runqueues is active and expired
- Constant time, since fixed number of queues to check; only take first item from non-empty queue

# O(1) Example



#### What now?



### Blocked Tasks

- ✤ What if a program blocks on I/O, say for the disk?
  - ✤ It still has part of its quantum left
  - Not runnable, so don't waste time putting it on the active or expired runqueues
- We need a "wait queue" associated with each blockable event
  - Disk, lock, pipe, network socket, etc.

### Blocking Exampl



Process goes on disk wait queue

Disk

### Blocked Tasks, cont.

- A blocked task is moved to a wait queue until the expected event happens
  - No longer on any active or expired queue!
- ✤ Disk example:
  - After I/O completes, interrupt handler moves task back to active runqueue

### Time slice tracking

- If a process blocks and then becomes runnable, how do we know how much time it had left?
- Each task tracks ticks left in 'time\_slice' field
  - On each clock tick: current->time\_slice--
  - ✤ If time slice goes to zero, move to expired queue
    - Refill time slice
    - Schedule someone else
  - An unblocked task can use balance of time slice
  - Forking halves time slice with child

### More on priorities

- \* 100 = highest priority
- $\Rightarrow$  139 = lowest priority
- $\Rightarrow$  120 = base priority
  - \* "nice" value: user-specified adjustment to base priority
  - Selfish (not nice) = -20 (I want to go first)
  - $\Rightarrow$  Really nice = +19 (I will go last)

#### Base time slice

$$time = \begin{cases} (140 - prio) * 20ms & prio < 120\\ (140 - prio) * 5ms & prio \ge 120 \end{cases}$$

"Higher" priority tasks get longer time slices

And run first

1

L

### Goal: Responsive UIs

- Most GUI programs are I/O bound on the user
  - Unlikely to use entire time slice
- Users get annoyed when they type a key and it takes a long time to appear
- ✤ Idea: give UI programs a priority boost
  - Go to front of line, run briefly, block on I/O again
- Which ones are the UI programs?

### Idea: Infer from sleep time

- By definition, I/O bound applications spend most of their time waiting on I/O
- We can monitor I/O wait time and infer which programs are GUI (and disk intensive)
- ✤ Give these applications a priority boost
- Note that this behavior can be dynamic
  - \* Ex: GUI configures DVD ripping, then it is CPU-bound
  - Scheduling should match program phases

### Dynamic priority

*dynamic priority* = max (100, min (*static priority* – *bonus* + 5, 139))

- ✤ Bonus is calculated based on sleep time
- Dynamic priority determines a tasks' runqueue
- This is a heuristic to balance competing goals of CPU throughput and latency in dealing with infrequent I/O
  - May not be optimal

# Dynamic Priority in O(1) Scheduler

- Important: The runqueue a process goes in is determined by the dynamic priority, not the static priority
  - Dynamic priority is mostly determined by time spent waiting, to boost UI responsiveness
- Nice values influence static priority
  - No matter how "nice" you are (or aren't), you can't boost your dynamic priority without blocking on a wait queue!

## Rebalancing tasks

As described, once a task ends up in one CPU's runqueue, it stays on that CPU forever

# Rebalancing



### Rebalancing tasks

- As described, once a task ends up in one CPU's runqueue, it stays on that CPU forever
- What if all the processes on CPU 0 exit, and all of the processes on CPU 1 fork more children?
- ✤ We need to periodically rebalance
- ✤ Balance overheads against benefits
  - + Figuring out where to move tasks isn't free

### Idea: Idle CPUs rebalance

- If a CPU is out of runnable tasks, it should take load from busy CPUs
  - Busy CPUs shouldn't lose time finding idle CPUs to take their work if possible
- There may not be any idle CPUs
  - Overhead to figure out whether other idle CPUs exist
  - ✤ Just have busy CPUs rebalance much less frequently

#### Average load

- ✤ How do we measure how busy a CPU is?
- Average number of runnable tasks over time
- Available in /proc/loadavg

#### Rebalancing strategy

- Read the loadavg of each CPU
- Find the one with the highest loadavg
- ✤ (Hand waving) Figure out how many tasks we could take
  - ✤ If worth it, lock the CPU's runqueues and take them
  - ✤ If not, try again later

#### Outline

- ✤ Policy goals
- ✤ O(1) Scheduler
- Scheduling interfaces

### Setting priorities

\* setpriority(which, who, niceval) and getpriority()

- ✤ Which: process, process group, or user id
- ✤ PID, PGID, or UID
- Niceval: -20 to +19 (recall earlier)
- - Historical interface (backwards compatible)
  - Equivalent to:
    - setpriority(PRIO\_PROCESS, getpid(), niceval)

### Scheduler Affinity

- sched\_setaffinity and sched\_getaffinity
- Can specify a bitmap of CPUs on which this can be scheduled
  - ✤ Better not be 0!
- Useful for benchmarking: ensure each thread on a dedicated CPU



- Moves a runnable task to the expired runqueue
  - Unless real-time (more later), then just move to the end of the active runqueue
- Several other real-time related APIs

#### Summary

- Understand competing scheduling goals
- Understand O(1) scheduler + rebalancing
- Scheduling system calls