From Processes to Threads

Don Porter Portions courtesy Emmett Witchel

Processes, Threads and Processors

Hardware can execute N instruction streams at once

- Uniprocessor, N==1
- ➤ Dual-core, N==2
- Sun's Niagara T2 (2007) N == 64, but 8 groups of 8
- An OS can run 1 process on each processor at the same time
 - Concurrent execution increases performance
- An OS can run 1 thread on each processor at the same time

Processes and Threads

Process abstraction combines two concepts

- Concurrency
 - Each process is a sequential execution stream of instructions
- Protection
 - Each process defines an address space
 - Address space identifies all addresses that can be touched by the program
- Threads
 - Key idea: separate the concepts of concurrency from protection
 - A thread is a sequential execution stream of instructions
 - A process defines the address space that may be shared by multiple threads
 - Threads can execute on different cores on a multicore CPU (parallelism for performance) and can communicate with other threads by updating memory

Example Redux

Virtual Address Space



Oxffffffff

- 2 threads requires 2 stacks in the process
- No problem!
- Kernel can schedule each thread separately
 - Possibly on 2 CPUs
 - Requires some extra bookkeeping

```
Consider the following code fragment
for(k = 0; k < n; k++)
a[k] = b[k] * c[k] + d[k] * e[k];
```

Is there a missed opportunity here? On a Uni-processor? On a Multi-processor? Consider a Web server get network message (URL) from client get URL data from disk compose response send response

How well does this web server perform?

```
void fn1(int arg0, int arg1, ...) {...}
main() {
    ...
    tid = CreateThread(fn1, arg0, arg1, ...);
    ...
}
```

At the point CreateThread is called, execution continues in parent thread in main function, and execution starts at fn1 in the child thread, *both in parallel (concurrently)*

Introducing Threads



These are possibly going the way of the Dodo

Context switch time for which entity is greater?

- 1. Process
- 2. Thread

How Can it Help?

```
    How can this code take advantage of 2 threads?
    for(k = 0; k < n; k++)
        <ul>
            a[k] = b[k] * c[k] + d[k] * e[k];
```

```
    Rewrite this code fragment as:
```

```
do_mult(l, m) {
    for(k = l; k < m; k++)
        a[k] = b[k] * c[k] + d[k] * e[k];
    }
    main() {
        CreateThread(do_mult, 0, n/2);
        CreateThread(do_mult, n/2, n);
    }
}</pre>
```

• What did we gain?

How Can it Help?

• Consider a Web server

Create a number of threads, and for each thread do

- get network message from client
- ✤ get URL data from disk
- send data over network
- What did we gain?

Overlapping Requests (Concurrency)

Request 1 Thread 1

 get network message (URL) from client
 get URL data from disk

(disk access latency)

Request 2 Thread 2

get network message (URL) from client
get URL data from disk

(disk access latency)

send data over network

Time

send data over network

Total time is less than request 1 + request 2

Why threads? (summary)

 Computation that can be divided into concurrent chunks

- Same Instruction (or operation), Multiple Data (SIMD easy)
- Harder to identify parallelism in more complex cases
- Overlapping blocking I/O with computation
 - If my web server blocks on I/O for one client, why not work on another client's request in a separate thread?
 - Other abstractions we won't cover (e.g., events)

Threads have their own...?

- 1. CPU
- 2. Address space
- 3. **PCB**
- 4. Stack 🙂
- 5. Registers 🙄

Threads vs. Processes

Threads

- A thread has no data segment or heap
- A thread cannot live on its own, it must live within a process
- There can be more than one thread in a process, the first thread calls main & has the process' s stack
- If a thread dies, its stack is reclaimed
- Inter-thread communication via memory.
- Each thread can run on a different physical processor
- Inexpensive creation and context switch

Processes

- A process has code/data/heap & other segments
- There must be at least one thread in a process
- Threads within a process share code/data/heap, share I/O, but each has its own stack & registers
- If a process dies, its resources are reclaimed & all threads die
- Inter-process communication via OS and data copying.
- Each process can run on a different physical processor
- Expensive creation and context switch

Implementing Threads

- Processes define an address space; threads share the address space
- Process Control Block (PCB) contains process-specific information
 - Owner, PID, heap pointer, priority, active thread, and pointers to thread information
- Thread Control Block (TCB) contains thread-specific information
 - Stack pointer, PC, thread state (running, ...), register values, a pointer to PCB, ...



Threads' Life Cycle

 Threads (just like processes) go through a sequence of start, ready, running, waiting, and done states



Threads have the same scheduling states as processes

- 1. True 🙄
- 2. False
- In fact, OSes generally schedule *threads* to CPUs, not processes

User-level vs. Kernel-level threads

Process0

Process1

user

kernel

- User-level threads (M to 1 model)
 - + Fast to create and switch
 - + Natural fit for language-level threads
 - Duplicate effort (2 thread schedulers)
 - The schedulers can fight with each other
 - All user-level threads in process block on OS calls
 - ✤ E.g., read from file can block all threads
- Kernel-level threads (1 to 1 model)
 + Kernel-level threads do not block process for syscall
 + Only one scheduler (and kernel has global view)
 Can be difficult to make efficient (create & switch)

Languages vs. Systems

Kernel-level threads have won for systems

- Linux, Solaris 10, Windows
- pthreads tend to be kernel-level threads
- User-level threads still used in some Java runtimes
 - User tells JVM how many underlying system threads
 Default: 1 system thread
 - Java runtime intercepts blocking calls, makes them nonblocking
 - > JNI code that makes blocking syscalls can block JVM
 - JVMs are phasing this out because kernel threads are efficient enough and intercepting system calls is complicated
- Kernel-level thread vs. process
 - Each process requires its own page table & hardware state (significant on the x86)

Editorial on User vs. Kernel threads

- There is a 25+ year history of debating user vs. kernel threads
 - These discussions are couched in grand principles
- The real issue is simple: Performance!!
 - If the kernel implementation of thread context switching is slow, everyone starts writing user-level thread packages

Java did this for a while

- If the kernel implementation gets faster, everyone just uses kernel threads, since they are easier
 - Java does this now, Linux 2.6 overhauled its thread implementation

Latency and Throughput

- Latency: time to complete an operation
- Throughput: work completed per unit time
- Multiplying vector example: reduced latency
- Web server example: increased throughput
- Consider plumbing
 - Low latency: turn on faucet and water comes out
 - High bandwidth: lots of water (e.g., to fill a pool)
- What is "High speed Internet?"
 - Low latency: needed to interactive gaming
 - High bandwidth: needed for downloading large files
 - Marketing departments like to conflate latency and bandwidth...

Relationship between Latency and Throughput

- Latency and bandwidth only loosely coupled
 - Henry Ford: assembly lines increase bandwidth without reducing latency
- My factory takes 1 day to make a Model-T ford.
 - But I can start building a new car every 10 minutes
 - At 24 hrs/day, I can make 24 * 6 = 144 cars per day
 - A special order for 1 green car, still takes 1 day
 - Throughput is increased, but latency is not.
- Latency reduction is difficult
- Often, one can buy bandwidth
 - > E.g., more memory chips, more disks, more computers
 - Big server farms (e.g., google) are high bandwidth

Latency, Throughput, and Threads

- Can threads improve throughput?
 - Yes, as long as there are parallel tasks and CPUs available
- Can threads improve latency?
 - Yes, especially when one task might block on another task's IO
- Can threads harm throughput?
 - > Yes, each thread gets a time slice.
 - If # threads >> # CPUs, the %of CPU time each thread gets approaches 0
- Can threads harm latency?
 - > Yes, especially when requests are short and there is little I/O

- For CPU-intensive work, applications generally create one thread per CPU
- For work with I/O, the number of threads is tuned to keep the CPU busy but not overloaded
 - ➢ E.g., 3 * # CPUs
 - Tuning effort often application-specific
- Applications like web servers often keep thread pools, or a set of n ready threads
 - New requests are assigned to an existing thread to avoid overloading the system
 - Plus, reduce setup/tear down costs!

Thread or Process Pool

- Creating a thread or process for each unit of work (e.g., user request) is dangerous
 - High overhead to create & delete thread/process
 - Can exhaust CPU & memory resource
- Thread/process pool controls resource use
 - Allows service to be well conditioned.

- Well conditioned
- Not well conditioned



When a user level thread does I/O it blocks the entire process.

- True 🙄 False 1.
- 2

Lecture Summary

- Understand the distinction between a process and thread
- Understand the motivation for threads
- Kernel vs. User threads
- Concepts of Throughput vs. Latency
- Thread pools